

**TRIAL TRANSCRIPT OF DR. GEORGE VINCENT DIGIACINTO; 2005 Trial  
Trans. LEXIS 1580**

SUPREME COURT OF NEW YORK, NASSAU COUNTY, TRIAL TERM, PART 19

Index No. 024830/1998

February 15, 2005

**Reporter**

2005 Trial Trans. LEXIS 1580 \*

CECILIA GUERIN, as Administratrix of The Estate of THOMAS GUERIN And CECILIA GUERIN, Individually, Plaintiff, - against - NORTH SHORE UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL, ALAN MECHANIC, M.D., ST. FRANCIS HOSPITAL And ADEL HANNA, M.D., Defendants.

**Expert Name:** Dr. Vincent DiGiacinto, M.D.

## **Disclaimer**

---

Certain information may have been removed or redacted. LexisNexis, its subsidiaries, affiliates and related entities bear no responsibility whatsoever for such content or any removal or redaction thereof.

## **Counsel**

---

**[\*1]** TORGAN & COOPER, Attorneys for Plaintiff, BY: EVAN TORGAN, ESQ.

HEIDELL, PITTONI, MURPHY & BACH, LLP, Attorneys for Defendants North Shore Hospital, Drs. Mechanic & Hanna, BY: ROBIN R. DOLSKY, ESQ., Of Counsel.

GEISLER & GABRIELE, Attorneys for Defendant St. Francis, BY: GUIDO GABRIELE, ESQ.

## **Judges**

---

Before THE HONORABLE ZELDA JONAS, Justice And a Jury.

## **Proceedings**

---

102

[1]

[2]THE COURT: We're ready to proceed.

[3]Ms. Dolsky, I believe you were examining this

[4]witness, yesterday.

[5] **[\*2]** G E O R G E D I G I A C I N T O , M. D., previously duly

[6]sworn, resumed and testified further as follows:

[7]THE CLERK: Doctor, I remind you, you are

[8]still under oath.

[9]THE WITNESS: Thank you.

[10]DIRECT EXAMINATION

[11]BY MS. DOLSKY: (Cont'd.)

[12]Q Good morning, Dr. DiGiacinto.

[13]A Good morning.

[14]Q Yesterday you had explained to the jury why you

[15]believe that it would not have been proper to put a

[16]permanent ventriculoperitoneal shunt in this patient. I'd

[17]like you also to assume that Dr. Stein when he testified

[18]here said that not only should this permanent shunt have

[19]been put in in or around May 30 of 1997 but that it could

[20]have and should have been placed through the left side of

[21]the patient's brain.

[22]Do you have an opinion, with a reasonable

[23]degree of medical certainty, as to whether that would have

[24]been good practice in this patient?

[25]A I do.

103

[1]

[2]Q And what is your opinion?

[3]A That it would have brought up problems which

[4]make it less than good practice.

[5]Q What type of problems?

[6]A Well, again, I think we've talked about judgment

[7] **[\*3]** and decision making in terms of managing the patient. The

[8]problem with putting it in on the left side is that there

[9]was still debris, there was still blood in that side and

[10]there's a significantly higher risk in placing the drain on

[11]the left side that the tubing, which is completely buried,  
[12]would obstruct.

[13]So I think given the choice of the clearer right  
[14]sided ventricular system versus the more blood laden and  
[15]debris laden left side the risk of obstruction is much  
[16]higher in putting it in on the left side.

[17]Q There was also testimony that Mr. Guerin was  
[18]ambidextrous and I think there was testimony earlier during  
[19]the trial by Dr. Ragone, if not also by other witnesses,  
[20]that the vast majority of people are left hemisphere  
[21]dominant.

[22]Could you just explain to us whether this  
[23]patient being ambidextrous would have any significance  
[24]regarding which side of his brain was the dominant side  
[25]here?

104

[1]

[2]A It would not have any significance because,  
[3]essentially, a hundred percent of patients who are  
[4]righthanded are left brain dominant and approximately 95  
[5]percent of patients who are **4** left handed are left brain  
[6]dominant. Statistically there's a high, high, high, high  
[7]probability the patient was left hemisphere dominant.

[8]Q Is there any additional or increased risk in  
[9]inserting a ventriculostomy through the side of someone's  
[10]brain, the dominant side of their brain?

[11]A Well, there is because one of the potential  
[12]risks any time you pass a catheter through the brain is  
[13]hemorrhage, damaging surrounding tissue and your concern is  
[14]in the left hemisphere or dominant hemisphere, the risk of

[15]hurting structures involved in speech and comprehension is  
[16]higher than it is on the right side so unless there's an  
[17]incredibly clear necessity of putting it on the left side,  
[18]which is the dominant hemisphere, you would prefer,  
[19]markedly, to put it on the right side.  
[20]Q Dr. DiGiacinto, we went over the CT scan of June  
[21]2 which was taken in the morning and, just to refresh your  
[22]recollection, before the ventriculostomy was clamped later  
[23]in that day, and we went over the changes from May 27 to the  
[24]second with the jury. I'm not going to repeat that but, do  
[25]you have an opinion as to whether or not **[\*5]** the neuro surgeon,  
105

[1]

[2]Dr. Mechanic, the neuro radiologists, who read the scan,  
[3]should have noted in their readings of this June 2 scan that  
[4]there was mid line shift?

[5]A I do have an opinion.

[6]Q What is your opinion?

[7]A That there was no clear indication of mid line  
[8]shift of brain structures. There was no reason to read mid  
[9]line shift and that shift of the septum pellucidum, that non  
[10]brain tissue structure bowing across was of no clinical  
[11]significance whatsoever.

[12]Q Do you have an opinion as to whether or not the  
[13]neuro radiologists, the neuro surgeon who was treating this  
[14]patient should have noted or found hydrocephalus on this CT  
[15]scan of June 2?

[16]A I do have an opinion.

[17]Q And what is your opinion?

[18]A That there was no indication of hydrocephalus.

[19]There was residual dilatation of that frontal horn because  
[20]of the blood that had been in there but that's not  
[21]consistent or definable as hydrocephalus.  
[22]Q I would like you to assume there was a Dr. Denny  
[23]who testified here and Dr. Stein, as well, that according to  
[24]them that the fact that there is a dilated [\*6] ventricle on the  
[25]left-hand side, that that, in an of itself, is hydrocephalus  
106

[1]  
[2]and should have been noted here or unilateral hydrocephalus  
[3]and that should have been noted here by everyone treating  
[4]this patient.

[5]Do you agree with that?

[6]A No, I don't.

[7]Q Why not?

[8]A Because this dilated ventricle is because of the  
[9]previous damage, it's not a dynamic process indicative of  
[10]the inability of the patient to reabsorb fluid. It's a  
[11]residual of the patient having had a severe hemorrhage and  
[12]significant bleeding into that side causing damage of the  
[13]surrounding structures, so it's bigger because the tissue  
[14]around it has been damaged not because there's an active  
[15]process of fluid and pressure build up.

[16]Q How do we know that?

[17]A We know because it stayed that way and  
[18]classically when a patient has a hemorrhage like that it's  
[19]very characteristic that the ventricle will stay dilated  
[20]maybe even forever. It may never return to normal looking  
[21]but that does not define an active process of pressure.

[22]Q Talking about the readings by the neuro

[23]radiologists and the reports [\*7] that are generated, the written  
[24]reports, is there a custom and practice in hospitals, in  
[25]general, regarding these reports and the time period in  
107

[1]

[2]which they are placed in the record?

[3]MR. TORGAN; Objection. Only this hospital,

[4]Judge, on this issue.

[5]THE COURT: All right. I'll sustain that

[6]objection.

[7]Q Is there a general standard of practice

[8]amongst hospitals?

[9]MR. TORGAN: Judge, on the radiology reports, on

[10]the reading, I would ask it be this case.

[11]THE COURT: Sit down. Let me think.

[12]I'm going to sustain the objection. Well,

[13]this doctor is not -- you have not ever worked

[14]at North Shore?

[15]THE WITNESS: That's correct.

[16]MS. DOLSKY: Your Honor, I think there was

[17]testimony --

[18]THE COURT: I am going to allow it as to

[19]his opinion as to other hospitals as to his

[20]experience but I'll let counsel determine what

[21]to cross examine on.

[22]MR. TORGAN: Thank you.

[23]Q Dr. DiGiacinto, I would like you to assume

[24]there was testimony by a Dr. Denny here that the general

[25]practice in hospitals, or, at least, in the hospitals that

108

[1]

[2] **[\*8]** he's worked in, is that there's usually a time lag of a day

[3]or more between the time of a reading of a CT scan and the

[4]time that that report is actually part of the patient's

[5]hospital chart, do you agree with that?

[6]A That's consistent with my personal experience,

[7]yes.

[8]Q And in this particular case I'd like to --

[9]MS. DOLSKY: Your Honor, I have an exhibit that

[10]was prepared that I'd like to have marked as

[11]Defendant's -- I'm not sure.

[12]COURT OFFICER: L.

[13]MS. DOLSKY: L for identification and if

[14]there's no objection into evidence to aid the

[15]jury. Mr. Torgan saw this this morning. It's

[16]basically a time line.

[17]MR. TORGAN: No objection.

[18](The above referred to item was received

[19]and marked as Defendant's Exhibit L in

[20]evidence as of this date.)

[21]Q Dr. DiGiacinto, have you had occasion this

[22]morning to look at what's been marked as Defendant's L into

[23]evidence?

[24]A Yes, I have.

[25]Q And is that just a very basic time line

109

[1]

[2]regarding the CT scans taken May 27 through the night of

[3]June 3 in relationship to the time that the ventriculostomy

[4] **[\*9]** was clamped and then discontinued?

[5]THE COURT: Wait, just one minute. I don't think

[6]the jury can see this. Can you all see this?

[7]Bob, set up the other easel and put it

[8]right there so that the doctor could see it and

[9]the jury and myself.

[10]MS. DOLSKY: I intend to have it in evidence

[11]later on so the jury --

[12]THE COURT: You are asking questions on

[13]this and I want them to be able to follow now.

[14]MS. DOLSKY: I'll repeat my question.

[15]Q Dr. DiGiacinto, does Defendant's L in

[16]evidence basically reflect a basic time line of the dates --

[17]dates and times of the CT scans that were taken from May 27

[18]through the evening of June 3 and when the ventriculostomy

[19]was clamped and then when the ventriculostomy was removed?

[20]A Yes, it does.

[21]Q And then I'll just refer to that if we need it.

[22]There is an indication of when the ventriculostomy was

[23]clamped, yes?

[24]A It's indicated ventriculostomy clamped at 5:50

[25]p.m. and that's sitting between the June 2 11 a.m. and the  
110

[1]

[2]June 3 10 a.m. scan so that is accurate.

[3]Q And when you say accurate you mean consistent

[4] **[\*10]** with the hospital record in this case?

[5]A Yes.

[6]Q I'd like to go to that June 3 ten a.m. CT scan,

[7]and it would be fair to say that the ventriculostomy was

[8]clamped for approximately sixteen hours by then?

[9]A It's ten a.m. and it was clamped around six,



[10]yes.

[11]Q And that's also reflected on this time line?

[12]A Yes.

[13]Q Now, over that sixteen hour period that that

[14]ventriculostomy was clamped how much fluid, cerebral spinal

[15]fluid would be manufactured in the ventricular system, and

[16]we'll go by Dr. Stein's testimony, it's about 500 cc's a

[17]day?

[18]A I think we calculated it's around 300 or 320

[19]cc's of cerebral spinal fluid.

[20]MR. TORGAN: I'm sorry?

[21]THE WITNESS: 300 or 320.

[22]MR. TORGAN: Per?

[23]THE WITNESS: During that sixteen hour

[24]period. That was the question.

[25]Q The five hundred per day would come out to

111

[1]

[2]approximately twenty cc's per hour?

[3]A Correct.

[4]Q And if this fluid that was being made in the

[5]ventricles was obstructed or there was something obstructing

[6]the flow of that fluid out of the ventricular system into

[7] [\*11] its normal pathway, if anything, would you expect to see on

[8]on the cat scan on the morning of June 3?

[9]A 320 cc's of cerebral spinal fluid inside the

[10]ventricular system would be about twice the current volume

[11]of the ventricular system as viewed on the CT scan and it

[12]would show ventricular enlargement, ventricular dilatation.

[13]MS. DOLSKY; We'll go to the CT scan now. I'm

[14]sorry, Louise, if you can turn the light lower.

[15]THE WITNESS: May I go down, your Honor.

[16]THE COURT: Yes, you may. Just make sure

[17]the court reporter can hear you.

[18]MS. DOLSKY: How is that, Dr. DiGiacinto,

[19]can you see those?

[20]THE WITNESS: Pretty well, yes.

[21]MS. DOLSKY: If you'd rather get closer we can

[22]have the court reporter --

[23]MR. TORGAN: You can't read the dates or

[24]times on it.

[25]Q I would like you to assume this is June 3  
112

[1]

[2]in the morning and you had said something about the fact,

[3]well, 320 cc's of fluid would be seen on the CT scan.

[4]Is that the case here?

[5]A No, it is not.

[6]Q And when you say no it is not, what do you mean

[7]by that?

[8]A This ventricular **[\*12]** system is not enlarged and it's

[9]certainly not changed compared to the study, the previous

[10]study, so there's no evidence that the ventricles are

[11]enlarged here and, again, I think normal volume inside the

[12]ventricular system is 120 or 130 cc's. This is almost three

[13]times that much or two and a half times that much.

[14]Q When you say this is almost three times that

[15]much, this, what we're seeing here?

[16]A The 320 cc's that we're accounting for as being

[17]formed during that 16 hour period of clamping is really more

[18]than twice as much as what's showing in the ventricular  
[19]system as an average measurement, so the fact that there's  
[20]no evidence of increasing size of the ventricles here means  
[21]that the CSF that's been formed, that 320 cc's is being  
[22]handled by the body, that is to say it's being reabsorbed by  
[23]its usual pathway.

[24]Q Dr. DiGiacinto, you said in answer to my  
[25]question just prior to this, these ventricles are in fact  
113

[1]  
[2]enlarged, and that's inconsistent, there is no enlargement  
[3]or there is enlargement?

[4]A The ventricles really appear essentially normal  
[5]size except for the residual **[\*13]** enlargement of the ventricle in  
[6]the left frontal horn where the major hemorrhage was, but  
[7]they have not enlarged, these are essentially normal  
[8]ventricles.

[9]Q When you say they have not enlarged, they have  
[10]not enlarged since when?

[11]A Comparing it to the previous scan and really  
[12]there's not hydrocephalus on this scan.

[13]Q When you say it hasn't enlarged as compared to  
[14]the previous scan, that would be the scan from June second,  
[15]sixteen hours earlier, yes?

[16]A Yes.

[17]Q And what is the significance of the fact that  
[18]there is no increase in the size of the left ventricle  
[19]between June 2 and June 3, morning?

[20]A It means that the cerebro spinal fluid that's  
[21]being formed within the ventricular system is being

[22]absorbed, that's true on the right side and it's true of the  
[23]left side where the ventricle is larger because of the  
[24]previous damage. There is no dynamic process of increasing  
[25]ventricular size. There's no dynamic process with poor  
114

[1]  
[2]absorption and the development of progressive hydrocephalus.  
[3]Q When you say that is that what you mean when you  
[4]say there is no **[\*14]** hydrocephalus, there is no ongoing acute  
[5]process?

[6]A Correct.

[7]Q And, in fact, if we can turn to -- I believe  
[8]it's Dr. Ragone's note, and that's page 37.

[9]You can retake the stand, Doctor.

[10]Dr. Ragone on the morning of the third notes  
[11]ventriculostomy was discontinued after clamping times 24  
[12]hours without development of hydrocephalus.

[13]You agree with that?

[14]A Yes, I do.

[15]Q And is it custom and practice for a neurologist  
[16]to review the patient's CT scans as well?

[17]A It's very commonly done, yes.

[18]Q Now, do you have an opinion as to whether Dr.  
[19]Ragone, Dr. Mechanic, the neuro radiologists should have  
[20]noted a finding, based on this June 3 CT scan of mid line  
[21]shift in their notes, in any reports regarding this scan?

[22]A I do have an opinion.

[23]Q And what's your opinion?

[24]A That there was no indication of mid line shift  
[25]that merited mention of any kind. I think we've discussed  
115

[1]

[2]that before with the only thing that might have been across

[3]mid line at all would be the septum pellucidum which is just

[4]the body out portion from the previous **[\*15]** ventricular injury.

[5]Q Now, what about hydrocephalus, should -- well, I

[6]think we covered that, in your opinion that there is no

[7]hydrocephalus presented on this scan in terms of an ongoing

[8]process of concern, right?

[9]A That is correct.

[10]Q And when you, as a neurosurgeon, is treating a

[11]patient, such as Mr. Guerin, whose ventriculostomy has been

[12]clamped now for sixteen hours and you are looking at this CT

[13]scan what is it that you're looking for? What is a

[14]neurosurgeon looking for, within good and accepted practice,

[15]in reviewing this CT, ordering it and reviewing it?

[16]A Our critical piece of information is the

[17]ventricular size, we're we're very specifically looking to

[18]see if the ventricles have enlarged to see the patient is

[19]having difficulty reabsorbing CSF. The lack of change in

[20]that ventricular size, the lack of enlargement in the

[21]ventricular size indicates the patient is handling and

[22]reabsorbing cerebro spinal fluid.

[23]Q What about his transependymal flow? The jury

[24]has heard testimony about cerebro spinal fluid leaking out

[25]of the ventricles and into the brain tissue itself. Is

116

[1]

[2] **[\*16]** there any support for that in the CT scans here?

[3]A No, there's no support for that in the CT scans

[4]here.

[5]Q Is there any support for that type of a  
[6]phenomena happening here based on your experience and  
[7]knowledge as a neurosurgeon?

[8]A No, there is not.

[9]Q Why not?

[10]A Transependyral flow is something that's  
[11]theorized and minimal if anything. It, perhaps, absorbs cc's  
[12]of fluid. It cannot absorb 320 cc's of fluid at all.

[13]Q Now, if there was some transependymal flow, like  
[14]you just described, is that something that would appear or  
[15]-- appear to be a diffuse cerebral edema in a CT scan?

[16]A Transependymal flow would only show change  
[17]directly next to the ependyma so -- right next to the  
[18]ventricles, so if there was transependymal flow visible it  
[19]would show some, perhaps, very thin, one or two milimeters,  
[20]of change right along the ventricular system. It's not a  
[21]system where it diffuses all the way through the brain, no.

[22]Q What is interstitial edema?

[23]A Fluid between the cells of the brain tissue or  
[24]the arm or anyplace.

[25]Q And what is the relationship of [\*17] that to this  
117

[1]

[2]case, if any?

[3]A At this point, none. There is no evidence of  
[4]interstitial edema.

[5]Q Now, in addition to the CT scan is the patient's  
[6]clinical condition during the time period that the  
[7]ventriculostomy is clamped also important?

[8]A Of course, it is.

[9]Q And I'd like to go to the nurses notes for the  
[10]evening of June 2 through the morning hours of June 3 when  
[11]this ventriculostomy was clamped and first off there's  
[12]progress notes that are written by a nurse and I would refer  
[13]you to, it's the second volume of the North Shore record and  
[14]I believe there should be a red sticker on the June 2  
[15]critical care flow sheet and there's a portion that's  
[16]actually handwritten by the nurse as opposed to the vital  
[17]sign recordings?

[18]A Am I looking for that sheet?

[19]Q Yes. And I believe it's an inside sheet.

[20]A Yes, I have it.

[21]Q According to the 4:30 note the nurse says, see  
[22]flow sheet for complete assessment. There's an EEG in  
[23]progress, vital signs stable and then we go to five o'clock  
[24]and that says A line changed, site intact, good way form --  
[25]what **[\*18]** is that about, do you know what that's referring to?  
118

[1]

[2]What's an A line?

[3]A An arterial line is a catheter placed in a plug  
[4]vessel, most often at the wrist, to give minute to minute  
[5]abilities to follow, on a monitor, the blood pressure of the  
[6]patient. So the changing means that for one reason or  
[7]another it was moved from one blood vessel to another and  
[8]reinserted.

[9]Q And then we have a note for 5:50 p.m., Dr.

[10]Mechanic at bedside to clamp ventriculostomy drain, will  
[11]continue to follow.

[12]We discussed yesterday the reasons for clamping

[13]the drain on June 2. Was it good practice for Dr. Mechanic

[14]to be there when this decision was being made?

[15]A I think that is an appropriate maneuver since

[16]it's really his responsibility to make that decision, yes.

[17]Q And from the record here and from the testimony

[18]at trial you would agree that Dr. Mechanic was, in fact, the

[19]one who made that decision, yes?

[20]A Yes.

[21]Q And he testified that he was the one that made

[22]that decision, right?

[23]A Correct.

[24]Q And when -- it also says, will continue to

[25]follow.

119

[1]

[2] **[\*19]** In general hospital parlance or phrasing what

[3]does that mean?

[4]A Well, continue to observe a variety of

[5]parameters, to watch the patient, in this case they're

[6]talking about the issue of the ventriculostomy and they'll

[7]be watching the patient as time passes.

[8]Q And the note continues on, 1800 hours, that's

[9]six p.m., yes?

[10]A Yes.

[11]Q Intracranial pressure increased. See flow

[12]sheet?

[13]A Correct.

[14]Q MD Engelman paged to assess patient at bedside.

[15]We all know that's the PA David Engelman?

[16]A Yes.



[17]Q Family at bedside upset and asking questions.

[18]Questions answered. Dr. Mechanic called by PA Engelman.

[19]We'll stop right there for now.

[20]I'd like you to assume that we know that the

[21]ventriculostomy was clamped at 5:50 p.m. or maybe even a

[22]little later, yes?

[23]A Correct.

[24]Q And we have a note by the nurse at six p.m. that

[25]the intracranial pressure has increased, right?

120

[1]

[2]A Yes.

[3]Q And we'll go to the flow sheet after, but I'd

[4]like you to assume that there was testimony by Dr. Stein,

[5]Dr. Bennett Stein, to this jury that, and **[\*20]** I'm going to quote

[6]from his second day of testimony which was, I believe,

[7]February 3, 2005. In discussing the clamping of the

[8]ventriculostomy on June 2, I'm referring to page 229 and

[9]it's in answer to a question as to the fact that the ICP

[10]increased in this period of time immediately after the

[11]clamping.

[12]And Dr. Stein said, As I said you have to take

[13]everything into account. The CT scan picture, the amount of

[14]drainage, the pressure and the clinical state, all of these

[15]things have to be correlated to each other but there's no

[16]refuting this, it's almost instantaneous that the pressures

[17]start to go up but the drain is clamped, it's not allowing

[18]fluid to get out now.

[19]Do you agree with that statement?

[20]A No, I don't.

[21]Q And why not?

[22]A There's no way that the patient instantaneously

[23]would -- assuming, first of all, that the patient couldn't

[24]handle the CSF, there's no way instantaneously, in ten

[25]minutes or half an hour or an hour could accumulate enough

121

[1]

[2]fluid to cause his pressure to go up substantially, if at

[3]all. It's impossible for the simple flow of dynamics to **[\*21]** take

[4]such a short period of time, so that the rise in pressure

[5]had nothing to do with the clamping the drain at that point.

[6]Q Let's turn to that flow sheet then, which we

[7]have the intracranial pressure. It should be part of that

[8]same pull out section of sheets, Dr. DiGiacinto.

[9]Now, we know that there was, in fact, a rise in

[10]the intracranial pressure, according to the monitor, from 16

[11]at or around the time that it was clamped.

[12]Do you have that in front of you?

[13]A I'm sorry, I'm looking but I haven't --

[14]MR. TORGAN: It's before it, Doctor.

[15]THE WITNESS: I think I have it now. Yes, I

[16]do. I'm sorry.

[17]Thank you.

[18]Q So we know it went from 16 to 28, yes?

[19]A We're talking about -- yes, I see it.

[20]Q And then the pressure remains high up until --

[21]when we say high how high is 28 in the context of this

[22]patient?

[23]A If we set 20 as a normal, which is an arbitrary

[24]normal, it's higher than normal but it's certainly not a

[25]massively increased intracranial pressure that is going to  
122

[1]

[2]worry us tremendously. It's higher than what we arbitrarily

[3] **[\*22]** set as a normal but not spectacularly high.

[4]Q What about a patient in this setting? Is it

[5]spectacularly high for a patient, such as Mr. Guerin, who

[6]has suffered the complication of thrombolytic therapy that

[7]he has?

[8]A I think I'll answer it the same way.

[9]Q Okay.

[10]Now, are there other things that cause an

[11]increase in intracranial pressure or could be responsible

[12]for causing an increased intracranial pressure reading in

[13]this patient during this period of time?

[14]A Yes, there are.

[15]Q And such as what?

[16]A A variety of things, such as positioning of the

[17]patient, such as treatment of the patient, such as level of

[18]attempted activity by the patient, turning, all of these

[19]things will have a significant effect on the minute to

[20]minute intracranial pressure reading.

[21]Q Well, during this period of time; six, seven,

[22]eight, to eight o'clock, if we once again direct our

[23]attention to the nurses flow sheet during -- not the flow

[24]sheet, the progress record, there was a period of time, and

[25]I want to get the timing right, I think it was around six

123

[1]

[2]o'clock, and we're **[\*23]** going to go back to that page we were

[3]talking about where the family is at bedside and upset and

[4]asking questions, questions answered, MD Mechanic called by

[5]PA Engelman, ventriculostomy to remain clamped, it continues

[6]on the next page, I believe.

[7]A Yes.

[8]Q Let's wait until we get to the next page.

[9]It continues clamped, ICP monitored, support

[10]provided to family, patient's vital signs stable, no signs

[11]or symptoms of distress, right?

[12]A Correct.

[13]Q Now, first of all, the support provided to

[14]family, I'd like you to assume that there was testimony that

[15]there were a number of family members at the bedside at this

[16]point in time. I think that's reflected in the notes and it

[17]was also reflected in Mrs. Guerin's trial testimony, is that

[18]something that can have an affect on the patient's

[19]intracranial pressure?

[20]A If there's active family interaction it may

[21]cause the patient some degree of agitation, possibly.

[22]MR. TORGAN: Objection. Move to strike to

[23]possibilities. I move to strike, Judge.

[24]THE COURT: All right. As to form.

[25]MS. DOLSKY: I'll withdraw the question. **[\*24]**

124

[1]

[2]Q Dr. DiGiacinto, does activity around a

[3]patient such as this or stimulation of a patient in this

[4]type of a neurological condition by either stroking or

[5]touching or verbalizing, how does that affect a patient's

[6]intracranial pressure, if at all?

[7]A It can certainly affect it.

[8]Q Now, we know that -- well, we know that at 2000

[9]we have another note by the nurse and that's at eight p.m.?

[10]A Yes.

[11]Q And that note says, vital signs remain stable,

[12]ICP steady readings noted. Neurological status unchanged.

[13]What is the significance of that note, if any,

[14]in the context of this patient at that time with the

[15]ventriculostomy clamped?

[16]A It indicates that there's no change, especially

[17]no deterioration in the neurological status of the patient,

[18]meaning that the ventriculostomy clamping is being tolerated

[19]well.

[20]Q Now, at 2100 hours, that's at nine p.m., the

[21]note indicates MD Mechanic at bedside, CSF drainage obtained

[22]by MD Mechanic. CSF sent to lab for culture, patient

[23]tolerated.

[24]Again, what is the significance of that, if any,

[25]that note?

125

[1]

[2] [\*25] A Well, it indicates that Dr. Mechanic was there

[3]observing the patient, again, with the desire to make sure

[4]that the patient is stable and then it speaks for itself. He

[5]obtained some spinal fluid for testing.

[6]Q By the way, I'd like you to assume that Dr.

[7]Stein had testified that this was obviously cerebro spinal

[8]fluid that had been in the drainage bag before the clamping,

[9]and you would agree with that, yes?

[10]A I can only read the note and say CSF drainage

[11]obtained.

[12]Q I'd like you to assume that Dr. Stein testified  
[13]that if this cerebro spinal fluid that was sent to the lab  
[14]had been in the drainage bag --  
[15]MR. TORGAN: I think he said the tube, I'm not  
[16]sure.  
[17]MS. DOLSKY: I'll withdraw the question.  
[18]Q I'd like you to assume that Dr. Stein  
[19]testified that if CSF was removed from the drainage bag on  
[20]that night and sent to the lab or maybe it was even on June  
[21]3 that he said that the cultures were in the drainage bag  
[22]and sent to the lab but, in any event, that the glucose  
[23]being lower than it had been, lower from June 1st on June 2,  
[24]lower from -- on the third than it was on [\*26] the second that  
[25]that can be caused by the CSF having been in this drainage  
126

[1]  
[2]bag for a while?  
[3]MR. TORGAN: Objection. If it's clamped it can't  
[4]be in the bag, Judge. I don't believe that was  
[5]the testimony.

[6]THE COURT: Again, I'm going to leave the  
[7]testimony up to the jury. They can ask for a  
[8]reading of that testimony if they wish and  
[9]they'll get it immediately, well, at the end of  
[10]the trial, while you are deliberating.

[11]MS. DOLSKY: I'll try to be clear with my  
[12]question or avoid that. Withdraw it and ask  
[13]another question.

[14]Q Dr. DiGiacinto, can the fact that cerebro  
[15]spinal fluid be in a drainage bag for a period of time

[16]before it's sent to the lab for culture and cell count, et

[17]cetera, can that affect the glucose reading?

[18]A It should not.

[19]Q And I'd like you to assume that Dr. Stein

[20]testified that it's a departure from good and accepted

[21]practice for an attending neuro surgeon to clamp a

[22]ventriculostomy and just walk away from the patient.

[23]Is there any support in the record for

[24]Dr. Mechanic having done that here?

[25]A No, there is not.

127

[1]

[2] [\*27] Q Is there support that he did not do that here?

[3]A Yes, there is.

[4]Q And what is that?

[5]A We have notations that he saw the patient at the

[6]time of clamping, around six o'clock and then saw the

[7]patient again, by the record, I think, around nine o'clock,

[8]indicating that he did specifically follow up and observe

[9]the patient's progress.

[10]Q Now, I'd like you also to assume that there was

[11]testimony by this same Dr. Stein that Dr. Mechanic,

[12]obviously, or assumedly did not give adequate instructions

[13]to the nurse following or nurses following this patient

[14]during the evening hours of June 2 and the early morning

[15]hours of June 3.

[16]Is there any support for that statement in this

[17]record?

[18]A Not that I can see.

[19]Q And why not?

[20]A The nurses are monitoring, following the  
[21]patient, they're measuring pressure, they're observing his  
[22]neuro status which is what must be done, so there is  
[23]certainly no abandonment of the patient by the nursing staff  
[24]and no failure to appropriately monitor the patient.

[25]Q I'd like to go through those notes now, starting  
128

[1]

[2]with [\*28] 2300 hours. That would be eleven p.m.?

[3]A Yes.

[4]Q And the nurse notes vital signs stable. No signs  
[5]or symptoms of distress, complete routine, bed bath care  
[6]provided.

[7]What is that routine bed bath care? You have  
[8]patients in surgical ICU setting all the time, yes?

[9]A Yes.

[10]Q Are you familiar with what -- where it says bed  
[11]bath care provided what that means?

[12]A Yes, I am.

[13]Q What is that?

[14]A The patient, obviously, is unable to get up and  
[15]take a shower or bathe and hygiene is very important so that  
[16]the patient will be sponged, toweled, cleaned, turned so  
[17]that their back can be cleaned, so they basically stay as  
[18]clean as possible. That's fairly routine and important care  
[19]in the intensive care unit.

[20]Q Can that have an affect on a patient's  
[21]intracranial pressure at the time that's being done?

[22]A I think we already mentioned any stimulus to the  
[23]patient, any change in position, any turning of the patient



[24]can have an affect on intracranial pressure, yes.

[25]Q And continuing on with that same note, Nurse  
129

[1]

[2]Krausse notes, skin intact -- her [\*29] name is there in the

[3]record and I'm going by that. Skin intact, then there's a

[4]zero with a slash through it.

[5]Do you know what that means?

[6]A Without.

[7]Q Without break down noted and again that's what

[8]you were talking about with the skin?

[9]A Yes.

[10]Q Patient tolerated well. Patient positioned for

[11]comfort and this is what you were explaining?

[12]A That's correct.

[13]Q And then we have a note from 1 a.m. and that

[14]says, vital signs stable, status unchanged, ICP readings

[15]decreased noted.

[16]What's the significance of that?

[17]A I think, again, we're watching the patient for

[18]any change as a result of having the drain clamped and we're

[19]seeing no change in his status, neuro status, and we're

[20]actually seeing the cerebro spinal fluid pressure as

[21]measured getting lower down from the high of 29 or 30, I

[22]think it went down to 16.

[23]Q Vital signs stable is also noted at three a.m.

[24]and what's the significance of that?

[25]A One of the things that can indicate a problem

130

[1]

[2]with fluid reabsorption, which is what we're talking about

[3]here, is a change in [\*30] the vital signs, so the fact the vital  
[4]signs are stable along with all the other information we  
[5]have, again, indicates the patient is tolerating the  
[6]clamping well.

[7]Q Then we have a note from five a.m. and, again,  
[8]partial skin care is provided and tolerated well. Seven a.m.  
[9]patient resting, appears comfortable, no signs or symptoms  
[10]of distress. Vital signs stable, ICP monitored per flow  
[11]sheet, no adverse effects from treatment or medications  
[12]given. Remains afebrile, neuro status unchanged.

[13]What's the significance of that note at seven  
[14]a.m. on June 3?

[15]A I think it reflects the same thing we're talking  
[16]about all along. The patient is showing no ill effects from  
[17]having the ventricular drain clamped.

[18]Q I'd like you to assume that Mrs. Guerin  
[19]testified that she was in the hospital with her husband  
[20]overnight, the night of June 2 through the early morning  
[21]hours of June 3 up until, I believe the testimony was, about  
[22]six a.m., and that his neuro status appeared unchanged and  
[23]did not deteriorate during this period of time.

[24]What is the significance of that, if any, in  
[25]light of [\*31] these other notes?

131

[1]

[2]A It, again, corresponds with everything else  
[3]we've been talking about that the patient is tolerating the  
[4]clamping very well.

[5]Q And just to go back to those pressures, the  
[6]intracranial monitor pressures and, again, I think

[7]Mr. Torgan pointed out, it's the first page of that flow

[8]sheet.

[9]A Yes.

[10]Q And going to the morning time period, let me

[11]just -- it appears that during that overnight period of time

[12]that the -- this is what you were referring to about -- or

[13]the nurse was referring to when she said that the

[14]intracranial pressure first was steady and then actually

[15]decreased?

[16]A That's correct.

[17]Q Now, on June 3, in the morning, we went through

[18]the CT scan findings and, again, I believe your testimony

[19]was that the scan showed that there was no increase or build

[20]up of fluid in this patient's ventricles on the morning of

[21]June 3, yes?

[22]A That's correct.

[23]Q The decision was made to remove the

[24]ventriculostomy at that time, right?

[25]A Correct.

132

[1]

[2]Q And in terms of that decision I believe there's

[3]a note **[\*32]** by the neuro surgical PA in the chart from the

[4]morning of the third.

[5]Down at the bottom of the page. That's the other

[6]volume. Do you have that?

[7]A Yes.

[8]Q Do you see at the very bottom?

[9]A I do see it, yes.

[10]Q And CT scan re-reviewed with Dr. Mechanic, event

[11]slit like, ventriculostomy discontinued. Fluid sent,  
[12]culture, gram, cell count, protein, glucose.  
[13]Is there any clear meaning to that note as to  
[14]whether Dr. Mechanic was there or not at this point in time?  
[15]A It certainly indicates that Dr. Mechanic was  
[16]directly involved with the evaluation and determination of  
[17]removing the ventriculostomy, yes.  
[18]Q Now, at the time that the ventriculostomy is  
[19]removed the intracranial pressure monitor is also removed,  
[20]correct?  
[21]A That is correct.  
[22]Q And isn't that a risk to the patient not having  
[23]the intracranial pressure monitored from that point on?  
[24]A I think at this point it's not a risk because a  
[25]decision has been made that the patient no longer needs a  
133  
[1]  
[2]ventriculostomy. It's been proven by the overnight clamping  
[3]and the lack of change [\*33] in ventricular size as well as the  
[4]lack of change clinically so that the patient -- the risk  
[5]benefit ratio now balances toward the side of not having  
[6]anything in because the patient has tolerated the clamping.  
[7]Q There was also testimony, before I forget, by  
[8]Dr. Stein that this period of time where the monitor had  
[9]indicated -- this period of time I'm talking about the night  
[10]of June 2 -- that there was increased intracranial pressure  
[11]that that would produce severe headaches in a patient.  
[12]Do you agree with that?  
[13]A At this level it's highly, highly unlikely that  
[14]that would be the case.

[15]Q What, if anything, would you expect it to

[16]produce if it was -- if there was, in fact, a high level of

[17]intracranial pressure?

[18]A Change in vital signs, change in a neuro status.

[19]Q We went through the June a.m. CT scan, correct?

[20]A Which one, I'm sorry.

[21]Q The June a.m., the one that was taken before the

[22]ventriculostomy was actually removed?

[23]A Yes.

[24]Q I'd like to concentrate on the period of time

[25]now between that morning after the ventriculostomy was  
134

[1]

[2]removed, [\*34] the morning of June 3 and that's also reflected on

[3]our time line there, yes, the time that the ventriculostomy

[4]was removed?

[5]A Yes.

[6]Q And also the time of the CT scan that was taken

[7]before it was removed?

[8]A Yes.

[9]Q And we know that there was another CT scan taken

[10]at 6:30 p.m. on the night of June 3, yes?

[11]A That is correct.

[12]Q And I'd like to concentrate then on the period

[13]of time between the two CT scans taken on June 3. You have

[14]the doctor's notes and the nurse's flow sheets for that

[15]period of time?

[16]A I'm starting with the note following the one we

[17]just looked at.

[18]Q Stay there with that. And then on the nurses'

[19]flow sheet there should be another red flag for the June 3

[20]nurse's flow sheet.

[21]A I have the first page of that in front of me.

[22]Q Okay. And, let me get that as well?

[23]MR. TORGAN: Can we have a side bar, your Honor?

[24]THE COURT: Certainly.

[25]MR. TORGAN: Sorry.

135

[1]

[2](Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion

[3]ensued among the attorneys and the Court

[4]out of the presence of the jury)

[5]THE COURT: [\*35] Ladies and gentlemen, we're

[6]going to take a fifteen minute break. I have one

[7]matter to take care of in between this break. I

[8]hope I'm completed by that time, but I don't

[9]think it should take more than fifteen minutes.

[10]Thank you very much.

[11]Doctor, you are excused as soon as the jury

[12]steps out.

[13](After a recess, Court reconvened with the

[14]following in the presence of the jury:)

[15]THE COURT: Ms. Dolsky, you can continue.

[16]MS. DOLSKY: Thank you.

[17]Q Dr. DiGiacinto, I believe we were looking

[18]at the nurses' flow sheet for June 3 when we took a break

[19]and I'd like to direct your attention to the morning hours

[20]through the 7:00 p.m. that would be the first half of the

[21]sheet?

[22]A Yes, I have it in front of me.

[23]Q And there's an indication that at the time the  
[24]ventriculostomy was removed the intracranial pressure was 30  
[25]and the CPP which, I believe, the jury has already heard is  
136

[1]

[2]the cerebral perfusion pressure was 99.

[3]Can you discuss the significance of the cerebral

[4]perfusion pressure, particularly in this context, for the

[5]jury, please?

[6] **[\*36]** A The cerebral perfusion pressure is an important

[7]number which indicates the abilities of blood to flow

[8]through the brain so that it can deliver oxygen to the

[9]brain. So that it's a balance, if you will, in saying how

[10]significant is the intracranial pressure measurement. The

[11]most important thing is the fact that blood is able to get

[12]through the brain. So that's why it's significant.

[13]Q And cerebral perfusion pressure of 99, what does

[14]that indicate?

[15]A I think that's well within normal range.

[16]Q Now, during the day time of June 3 the vital

[17]signs that are recorded on this sheet in terms of the

[18]patient's blood pressure and his heart rate and his

[19]temperature, for instance, are those -- what are the

[20]significance of those vital signs, if anything?

[21]A The readings are, I'm just going over them,

[22]150, 140, there's a bump around five o'clock which then

[23]comes back down by seven o'clock it's 129. Those are fairly

[24]stable.

[25]Q And particularly for a patient in this

137

[1]

[2]situation?

[3]A I think so, ye+s.

[4]Q I'd like you to assume, because I don't want to

[5]take the time to **[\*37]** go through the progress records with you

[6]now, that there are various doctors notes in the chart for

[7]June 3 that indicate if not the same neurological status a

[8]change, a deterioration in Mr. Guerin's neurological status

[9]from June 2 and I'd like you to assume that on the evening

[10]of June 2 or late afternoon -- I'm sorry, of June 3, the

[11]late afternoon hours of June 3, that Mrs. Guerin was at the

[12]hospital and that she noticed a deterioration in her

[13]husband's neurological condition, and that based on that the

[14]physician's assistant, David Engelman, called Dr. Mechanic

[15]and the decision was made to have an emergency CT scan done.

[16]You are aware of that, yes, from your review of

[17]the chart?

[18]A Yes, I am.

[19]Q By the way, before testifying here yesterday in

[20]court when had you last reviewed the North Shore University

[21]Hospital record pertaining to this patient?

[22]A Over the weekend, Saturday and Sunday. So the

[23]day before yesterday.

[24]Q A CT scan was, in fact, taken on the night of

[25]June 3, yes?

138

[1]

[2]A Yes.

[3]Q Was that good practice?

[4]A Yes.

[5]Q Now, I'd like **[\*38]** to just briefly go to that CT



[6]scan, I'm not even going to ask you to get up or to go  
[7]through it in any detail, you've reviewed that CT scan  
[8]before?

[9]A Yes, I have.

[10]Q I think it's fair for us to say, the jury as

[11]well has seen that CT scan before.

[12]MS. DOLSKY: May we have the lights dimmed.

[13]Thank you.

[14]Q So we know that this CT scan was done

[15]because of a report of a change in this patient's

[16]neurological status, yes?

[17]A Yes.

[18]Q And what, if anything, is the significance of

[19]this CT scan taken at approximately 6:30 at night on June 3,

[20]which is approximately 24 or a little bit more hours, after

[21]the ventriculostomy had been clamped?

[22]A The significance of the scan and the most

[23]important thing noted is that the ventricles have not

[24]changed in size. There's no evidence of hydrocephalus,

[25]there's no evidence of increasing ventricular size, again,

139

[1]

[2]indicating that the patient is handling this now five or 600

[3]cc's of spinal fluid by reabsorbing it rather than

[4]accumulated into the ventricular system.

[5]Q Now, can there be or are there, in this, again, [**\*39**]

[6]clinical setting, in this patient in the surgical ICU, can

[7]there be other reasons for a decrease in neurological status

[8]other than an increase in fluid in the ventricles?

[9]A Yes, there can be.

[10]Q And what?

[11]A One of the things that we're seeing is

[12]significant elevation in temperature which can in anyone of

[13]us and certainly in a patient who's already neurologically

[14]compromised cause a significant decrease in their level of

[15]function. Other issues, such as infection, other systemic

[16]problems if there were any change in oxygenation or any of

[17]the other vital signs there may be impact, but the main one

[18]you look at, if it's not ventricular dilatation, would be

[19]fever and the consideration of infection.

[20]Q And can we say, with a reasonable degree of

[21]medical certainty, that any change in the patient's

[22]neurological condition, deterioration, is certainly not

[23]being caused by a build up of fluid in the patient's

[24]ventricles here?

[25]A Certainly the one hard fact, one hard piece of

140

[1]

[2]information we have is that the ventricles are not dilated

[3]up, therefore we can say it's not due to **[\*40]** build up of fluid

[4]in the brain.

[5]Q I'd like to jump to the morning CT scan of June

[6]4th, if I may. We know that at some time during the late

[7]night of June 3, the early morning hours of June 4 and in

[8]particular the morning -- the morning hours of June 4th that

[9]something happened to cause an acute deterioration in Mr.

[10]Guerin's condition, according to the chart, yes?

[11]A Yes.

[12]Q Now, this CT scan that was taken at about eleven

[13]a.m., right?

[14]A I believe that was the time, yes.

[15]Q And the ventriculostomy had been reinserted by

[16]Dr. Mechanic, I think, at about 7:30 a.m. that morning?

[17]A That's my recollection.

[18]Q Now, we'll leave this here, but going back to

[19]the ventriculostomy that was reinserted, there was an

[20]indication, and it's in the chart and in the testimony by

[21]Dr. Mechanic, that when he reinserted a ventriculostomy

[22]after this acute deterioration in Mr. Guerin on the early

[23]morning of June 4 that there was approximately three to

[24]four cc's of cerebral spinal fluid which he was able to get

[25]from the patient's ventricular system.

141

[1]

[2]I'd like you to **[\*41]** assume also there's a note by a

[3]PA Joseph that it was 30 to 40 cc's of fluid. So there's an

[4]inconsistency there. She describes it as foul smelling

[5]fluid.

[6]What is the significance, if any, of the fact

[7]that when a ventriculostomy was reinserted on the morning of

[8]June 4 that that amount of fluid was obtained through the

[9]ventriculostomy?

[10]MR. TORGAN: Objection, Which amount? Three to

[11]four or 30 to 40?

[12]MS. DOLSKY: Let me back up.

[13]Q In your assessment of this case is there

[14]any difference -- would there be any difference to your

[15]opinion whether it was three to four cc's that was removed

[16]or 30 to 40 cc's that were removed?

[17]A No, there wouldn't.

[18]Q And what would be the significance, if any, of  
[19]let's say 30 to 40, that's a bit more than three to four,  
[20]cc's of fluid being removed from this ventriculostomy at  
[21]that time? When I say significance, I mean significance in  
[22]terms of this case?

[23]A There are several issues to be dealt with. No. 1  
[24]the amount of fluid relates to the fact that the ventricles  
[25]were severely compressed down by what the CT scan  
142

[1]  
[2]subsequently [\*42] showed was diffusely swollen brain, secondary  
[3]to cerebritis.

[4]MR. TORGAN: Objection, your Honor. I object to  
[5]the last comment about cerebritis.

[6]THE COURT: Let's take a side bar.

[7]MR. TORGAN: I'm sorry to do that, Judge.

[8](Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion  
[9]ensued among both attorneys and the Court  
[10]out of the presence of the jury)

[11]THE COURT: The objection is overruled  
[12]pursuant to the direction of the Court.

[13]MR. TORGAN: I thought it was sustained.

[14]THE COURT: It's sustained in conjunction  
[15]with the Court's order.

[16]Q Dr. DiGiacinto, you --

[17]MS. DOLSKY: May I have the last question and  
[18]answer read back before the question that  
[19]Mr. Torgan objected to.

[20]THE COURT: I overruled it subject to the  
[21]direction I gave to counsel. I'll let this

[22]question and answer stand and you may proceed.

[23](Whereupon, the record was read by the

[24]Reporter).

[25]Q Doctor, when you say compressed down are  
143

[1]

[2]you talking about something that is happening from the

[3]inside of the ventricles out or from the outside of the

[4]ventricles in?

[5]A From [\*43] the outside of the ventricles in.

[6]Q And I believe you said something about a diffuse

[7]edema occurring here?

[8]A There's diffuse process in the brain. The

[9]entire brain is swollen, the ventricular system is

[10]compressed, there's a loss of the differentiation between

[11]gray matter and white matter indicating a very diffuse

[12]process involving the substance of the brain. It's not the

[13]ventricular system.

[14]Q Now, the left ventricle we can still see on

[15]these CT scans, yes?

[16]A Yes.

[17]Q And what is the significance of that, if any?

[18]THE WITNESS: May I go down, your Honor?

[19]THE COURT: Certainly.

[20]Q We'll do the first six images if that's

[21]okay.

[22]My question was, what is the significance, if

[23]any, of the size of the left ventricle here?

[24]A Recall we mentioned earlier that there was

[25]damage in the substance of the brain around --  
144

[1]

[2]THE COURT: One minute. Could you continue to

[3]inquire and could you continue to testify and

[4]could you continue to type, which is more

[5]important, if all the lights were turned off?

[6]Let's see what happens if we turned [\*44] off all

[7]these lights.

[8]MS. DOLSKY: Is that okay, Judge?

[9]THE COURT: Is it okay for counsel?

[10]Q Dr. DiGiacinto, what's the significance, if

[11]any, to the size of the left ventricle in these CT scans?

[12]A You recall we discussed the size of the left

[13]ventricle relative to damage of the brain so there's more

[14]space around that ventricle. It hasn't gotten compressed to

[15]the point that it disappears as essentially has happened to

[16]the rest of the ventricular system even posteriorly where

[17]there's still blood inside the ventricle, the blood hasn't

[18]been pushed out so that you're still seeing that, but the

[19]rest of the ventricular system is very, very compressed down

[20]and, essentially, invisible. You see a little remnant there

[21]but, the majority of the ventricular system has been

[22]collapsed down from pressure outside the ventricles causing

[23]them to collapse.

[24]Q Well, can that pressure outside the ventricles

[25]causing it to collapse, do you have an opinion, with a

145

[1]

[2]reasonable degree of medical certainty, as to whether that

[3]process that's going on is caused by a build up of cerebro

[4]spinal [\*45] fluid in the brain?

[5]A I do have an opinion.

[6]Q And what's your opinion?

[7]A That it's not caused by a build up of cerebral

[8]spinal fluid in the brain.

[9]Q Why not?

[10]A The brain is not capable of absorbing

[11]significant amounts of cerebro spinal fluid, we use the term

[12]transpendymal absorption which really is a process that

[13]penetrates, perhaps, to a millimeter or two of depth, it is

[14]not a recognized process to have CSF, cerebro spinal fluid

[15]diffuse through the entire brain.

[16]Q Have you ever heard of that?

[17]A No, I have not.

[18]Q And this diffuse cerebral edema that we have

[19]here is outside the ventricular system?

[20]A Yes, it is.

[21]Q Now, what if anything -- and here we have the

[22]ventriculostomy has already been placed back in the

[23]patient's skull, yes?

[24]A Yes.

[25]Q And I'd like you to assume that at that time

146

[1]

[2]cerebro spinal fluid was sent to be -- for culture and gram

[3]stain, et cetera, okay?

[4]A Yes.

[5]Q And would it be good and accepted practice given

[6]this patient's acute deterioration, or deterioration that he

[7]had [\*46] suffered in the morning of June 4 to send that fluid to

[8]pathology?

[9]A Absolutely.

[10]Q I'd like to go back in time now to the night of

[11]June 3 of 1997.

[12]MR. TORGAN: I'm going to withdraw my objection

[13]to the infectious process. I'm sorry I did that

[14]to you. I'm sorry to take the side bar.

[15]MS. DOLSKY: It's all right, Judge.

[16]THE COURT: We'll go into that when it's

[17]necessary but we put it on the record in the

[18]middle of something completely different that

[19]you're withdrawing your objection.

[20]MR. TORGAN: Sorry, Judge.

[21]Q During the evening, Dr. DiGiacinto, of June

[22]3, I know we went through the nurses' notes up until

[23]approximately 7:00 p.m., that nurse's flow sheet?

[24]A Correct.

[25]Q And I'd like to now go to the later part of June

147

[1]

[2]3 through the morning hours of June 4, and if you want to

[3]refer to the chart in front of you you can do that or look

[4]on with the blowup, but we know that during the night and

[5]the early morning hours we -- the patient's temperature

[6]increases, yes?

[7]A Correct.

[8]Q And what is the significance of that, if any, [\*47] in

[9]this patient?

[10]A There are two issues that that brings up.

[11]No. 1 an increase in temperature, as we

[12]mentioned earlier, in a debilitated patient or even in a



[13]healthy patient will be accompanied by depression,  
[14]deterioration of neurological function. I'm talking about  
[15]any of us that gets the flu and gets a temperature to 104,  
[16]but taking a debilitated, comatose patient and inflicting a  
[17]temperature of 104 on top of that is certainly going to  
[18]depress his neurological function.  
[19]Secondly, it brings up very, very acutely the  
[20]issue of infection in this patient and it certainly,  
[21]absolutely consistent with infection that a temperature  
[22]would go up to the levels of about 104 or anything close to  
[23]that.

[24]Q As a matter of fact, at approximately two a.m.  
[25]the record indicates that his temperature is what? You have  
148

[1]  
[2]the original in front of you, that might be?  
[3]A At two a.m. it's listed as 39.4 which I think,  
[4]our cheat sheet, which I don't have, is a spec under 104.  
[5]39.4 on our sheet corresponds to 103.  
[6]Q Now, at the same time it appears that the  
[7]patient's heart rate [**\*48**] is increasing. What is the significance  
[8]of that, if any?

[9]A That, again, is very consistent with a markedly  
[10]increased temperature as certainly 103 is.

[11]Q And during the course of these evening hours,  
[12]I'd like to turn to that handwritten portion of the nurses  
[13]flow sheet?

[14]A Further back or -- I have it.

[15]Q It's in the middle there.

[16]A Yes.

[17]Q Okay.

[18]There are notes up until eleven p.m. and just

[19]quickly from 6:10 p.m. on that talks about that the patient

[20]was transferred for the emergency CT scan after Mrs. Guerin

[21]spoke with Dr. Mechanic, yes?

[22]A Correct.

[23]Q Now going to the next page of those nurse's

[24]progress notes, there's a note beginning at 4:45 a.m. which

[25]basically recaps events that happened during the course of  
149

[1]

[2]the early morning hours of June 4, yes?

[3]A Correct.

[4]Q Now, first of all, there's a note by the nurse

[5]that last p.m. at eleven o'clock ooze on pillow noted,

[6]Dr. Yi aware, and that the site was redressed and that the

[7]neuro PA was called. There was approximately 100 cc's

[8]altogether and that more staples were applied. **[\*49]**

[9]First of all, assuming Dr. Mechanic was called

[10]and came to the hospital and inserted a ventriculostomy in

[11]this patient at 1 a.m. in the morning on June 4, 1997 do you

[12]have an opinion, with a reasonable degree of medical

[13]certainty, as to if that would have changed the course of

[14]events earlier in that morning and the outcome with this

[15]patient?

[16]A I do have an pin.

[17]Q What is your opinion?

[18]A That it would not have changed the course of

[19]events or course of the patient.

[20]Q Why?

[21]A There's clearly a very devastating process  
[22]evolving at this point in time. I have to bounce back and  
[23]forth from what we know later to what we know then. We're  
[24]talking about sanguinous ooze. It's not even being  
[25]I described as cerebro spinal fluid, remember that.  
150

[1]  
[2]Secondly, later on, when the drain is put in  
[3]fluid comes out which is described as having an odor. This  
[4]is -- both of these are very consistent with horribly  
[5]infected cerebro spinal fluid.

[6]Q Let me stop you for a minute. Are they  
[7]consistent with an acute hydrocephalus, a build up of fluid  
[8]within the ventricles? **[\*50]**

[9]A There's no relationship between the two.

[10]Q Why not?

[11]A Well, No. 1, the character of the fluid is  
[12]independent of the ventricular size.

[13]Secondly, we know from the CT scan done several  
[14]hours earlier that the ventricles had not enlarged. So that  
[15]what we're dealing with here is a very fulminant, acute  
[16]process. The ooze, again, sanguinous ooze is yellowish fluid  
[17]draining out of the site.

[18]Q Let me stop you, again. I apologize, but we  
[19]know that the ventriculostomy had been clamped on June 2 at  
[20]about six o'clock at night and that another CT scan had been  
[21]taken at about 6:30 on the night of June 3?

[22]A Correct.

[23]Q And this is now about four or five hours after  
[24]that?

[25]A Yes.

151

[1]

[2]Q Five hours after that?

[3]A Correct.

[4]Q How -- if the patient was not absorbing, if his

[5]ventricular system, his body was not properly absorbing

[6]cerebro spinal fluid how much cerebro spinal fluid would be

[7]in the ventricular system?

[8]A Well, we think -- we've been using the number,

[9]which I think we've agreed on, around hundred or 120 or 130

[10]cc's. We're [\*51] then talking about a period of -- help me with

[11]the time again.

[12]Q The clamping was at six p.m.

[13]A five hours.

[14]Q And then we have the CT scan approximately 24

[15]hours later after the ventriculostomy had been removed for

[16]about seven hours, right?

[17]A Yes.

[18]Q And that was 24 hours after clamping and if that

[19]was 6:30 now we're talking at a time about 11:30 so five

[20]hours later, a total of 29 hours after the ventriculostomy

[21]had initially been changed?

[22]MR. TORGAN: Objection. I object to the

[23]testimony. Objection.

[24]THE COURT: What was your objection based

[25]on?

152

[1]

[2]MR. TORGAN: It was a statement. It wasn't

[3]a question.

[4]MS. DOLSKY: I'll withdraw it and I'll make

[5]the question clear.

[6]Q My question, Dr. DiGiacinto, in that 29

[7]hour period of time how much cerebro spinal fluid would be

[8]in the ventricles if it wasn't being properly absorbed by

[9]the body?

[10]A We'll say five hundred cc's a day which would be

[11]five hundred cc's for the 24 hours and four -- five more

[12]hours would be another hundred cc's so six hundred cc's of

[13]CSF.

[14]Q And **[\*52]** at the time that this patient's CT scan was

[15]taken at 6:30 p.m. on June 3, that night, we don't see any

[16]such building up of fluid, correct?

[17]A That's correct.

[18]Q And here we now have one hundred cc's of this

[19]sanguinous ooze.

[20]I'm not sure I asked you this already or not.

[21]What is the significance of that here?

[22]A Well, it's something draining out of the wound.

[23]It's described not as clear fluid which would be the normal

[24]description of the cerebro spinal fluid, it's described as

[25]II sanguinous ooze which, again, if it's coming from the head

153

[1]

[2]is very consistent with an infected collection of fluid.

[3]Q is it consistent with an acute hydrocephalus or

[4]build up of fluid in the ventricles?

[5]A Wo, it's not.

[6]Q Now, there were staples applied to the patient's

[7]scalp after this. Why is -- and is that within good and

[8]accepted practice?

[9]A It was, according to the chart, done once and

[10]then, I guess, some more staples were added in an effort to

[11]prevent the wound from being open to prevent back and

[12]forward flow of bacteria and it would be good and accepted

[13]practice. **[\*53]**

[14]Q Does that happen sometimes that a

[15]ventriculostomy site where the drain has been removed leaks

[16]fluid?

[17]A Yes, it does.

[18]Q Now, during this period of time there's

[19]treatment which is being rendered to the patient, we talked

[20]about the ooze and then there was a dry dressing in place

[21]and the patient -- it says, continued to monitor. There's

[22]the high temperature we discussed and then it says, Dr. Yi

[23]here to assess patient. Placed on cooling blanket and ice

[24]packs to axilla and groin. Tylenol given. And then it talks

[25]about respiratory problems.

154

[1]

[2]What's the significance of this note to you as

[3]to what's going on at that time?

[4]A They're very concerned about the severity or the

[5]height of the temperature which can damage a normal person

[6]or debilitated person and they're making efforts to control

[7]that temperature with medication, Tylenol, which is a very

[8]good medication to lower fever, and applying ice literally

[9]to the patient in an attempt to relieve this severely

[10]elevated fever.

[11]Q This severely elevated fever, regardless of the

[12]source, is the fever itself dangerous **[\*54]** to the patient?

[13]A Yes, it is.

[14]Q And why is that?

[15]A Patients with damaged neuro tissue experiencing

[16]a very high fever is going to have further damage to that

[17]neural tissue, it's going to affect his vital signs,

[18]potentially, and the real impact, though, is the origin of

[19]the fever. What is it reflective of? And in this case it

[20]was reflective of infection, which is the major problem.

[21]Fever, in this case, was infection.

[22]Q Now, is that an indication or is there any

[23]indication during the course of this night, early morning of

[24]June 4 to reinsert a ventriculostomy?

[25]A At some point one of the questions that would

155

[1]

[2]come up is whether or not there was something we could

[3]discover inside the head, whether it was hydrocephalus,

[4]which it wasn't, whether we'd learn anything from inserting

[5]the drain, certainly the probability that given the

[6]information we have up to this point, the probability that a

[7]ventricular drain at any point from the night before through

[8]when it was finally done was going to have any significant

[9]impact is essentially zero.

[10]Q Now, at the time that **[\*55]** the patient is having this

[11]fever and, I believe that there's notice of that, the breath

[12]sounds are tight, the patient's respirations at this point

[13]in time, how are they?

[14]A I would have to look at the --

[15]Q Flow sheet.

[16]A Respirations, June 3 going into June 4 the  
[17]patient was breathing at a rate of 25, 26 and then higher,  
[18]to 31, 34, 30 and it fluctuated up and down during that  
[19]period of time until later in the morning.  
[20]Q And is that consistent with the patient's heart  
[21]rate and fever?  
[22]A Yes, they're all consistent with that.  
[23]Q Now, in the early morning hours of June 4 after  
[24]the ventriculostomy was placed there was a CT scan taken and  
[25]we discussed those -- the signs that were there.  
156

[1]  
[2]In terms of the diffuse cerebral edema, does a  
[3]ventriculostomy treat that type of diffuse cerebral edema?

[4]A No, it does not.

[5]Q And why not?

[6]A The only thing a ventriculostomy can do in terms  
[7]of treating the inside of the head is to drain fluid out of  
[8]the head. It can't treat the edema. It's not designed to  
[9]treat it.

[10]Q When you say fluid out of **[\*56]** the head can it get  
[11]fluid out of the brain tissue?

[12]A No, it can not.

[13]Q And what is the mechanism -- you used the word  
[14]swollen brain and edema, what is it about infection, for  
[15]instance, which causes the brain to swell?

[16]A It will cause a very diffuse interstitial, we've  
[17]used that term, between the cells, out pouring of fluid,  
[18]reaction of the brain to infection. Similar to what we'd see  
[19]if we have an arm that's infected. We know how swollen and



[20]red it gets well, exactly the same thing happens to the  
[21]brain.

[22]Q Now, that fluid, that out pouring of fluid that  
[23]you just mentioned, is that cerebral spinal fluid?

[24]A No.

[25]Q Is that fluid from the ventricular system?  
157

[1]

[2]A No.

[3]Q What -- where is the fluid from?

[4]A It's fluid that's coming from the vascular  
[5]system, from the blood vessels and the blood circulating  
[6]through the body.

[7]Q When you say that the cells, another fluid,  
[8]would that be if someone develops a blister let's say from a  
[9]burn and something like that?

[10]A It's somewhat similar to that, yes.

[11]Q If the cerebral spine -- **[\*57]** if cerebral spinal  
[12]fluid was not being properly absorbed by this patient during  
[13]June 3 and June 4th what would we see on that CT scan?

[14]A We'd see a persistence and probable enlargement  
[15]of the size of the ventricles.

[16]Q I'd like you to assume that Dr. Stein said that  
[17]there was ongoing hydrocephalus here. Is there any support  
[18]for that in any of the records or in the CT scans?

[19]A No.

[20]Q Where would -- where is that cerebro spinal  
[21]fluid if we credit his testimony?

[22]A It's been absorbed by the normal pathways.

[23]Q I'd like to just go to some testimony by Dr.

[24]Stein on the last day he was here in front of the jury and

[25]there is questions by Mr. Torgan on redirect examination.

158

[1]

[2]THE COURT: Excuse me, Ms. Dolsky, are we going

[3]to turn on the rest of the lights?

[4]MS. DOLSKY: We can, your Honor.

[5]Q I'm referring to pages 974 to 975 of the

[6]record.

[7]"Question: Did the increase intracranial

[8]pressure that Tom Guerin had from the

[9]hydrocephalus after the discontinuance of his

[10]ventriculostomy cause the brain edema that we

[11]see on June 4?

[12]"Answer: [\*58] I believe it does.

[13]"Question: Why is that?

[14]"Answer: Because the fluid is trying to

[15]get out of the ventricles and it gets out into

[16]the brain tissue and it causes swelling

[17]throughout the brain."

[18]Do you agree with that?

[19]A No, I don't.

[20]Q And when I say, do you agree with that and you

[21]say you don't, have you ever heard of that occurring in a

[22]patient in your years off experience as a neurosurgeon?

[23]A No, I have not.

[24]Q Does it make any neurological or neuro

[25]anatomical sense to you?

159

[1]

[2]A No, it doesn't.

[3]Q And is there anything in this case which would

[4]support such a theory here?

[5]A No, there's not.

[6]Q We know that when that ventriculostomy was

[7]inserted by Dr. Mechanic on the morning of June 4 Dr.

[8]Mechanic noted in his operative report and he told this jury

[9]that there was a high opening pressure, meaning that there

[10]was an increased intracranial pressure at the time of this

[11]ventriculostomy.

[12]Does that mean that there was hydrocephalus?

[13]A No, it does not.

[14]Q What does it mean?

[15]A It means there was diffusely [**\*59**] increased pressure

[16]secondary to the swelling of the brain that is later

[17]demonstrated on the CT scan.

[18]Q And during the course of this night when Tylenol

[19]was given to the patient and he was placed on ice and on

[20]cooling blankets there was also antibiotics, I think

[21]vancomycin and gentamycin started, yes?

[22]A That's correct.

[23]Q Why would the staff do that? What, if anything,

[24]would be their concern at that time, at approximately three

[25]a.m. or so in the morning when they're treating this

160

[1]

[2]patient, what would be their concern that would lead them to

[3]give those antibiotics to this patient?

[4]A We've been talking about the significant risk

[5]all along of infection. We've been talking about the

[6]markedly rising fever, a decision was made by the team that

[7]was taking care of the patient to empirically cover the  
[8]patient for infection by giving him two broad spectrum  
[9]antibiotics.

[10]Q And was in fact that risk of infection one of,  
[11]if not the primary reason, for Dr. Mechanic wanting to  
[12]remove that ventriculostomy drain on June 3?

[13]A Yes, it was.

[14]MS. DOLSKY: I have no [\*60] further questions, your  
[15]Honor.

[16]Thank you, Doctor.

[17]MR. GABRIELE: I have no questions at this  
[18]time, your Honor.

[19]THE COURT: Thank you.

[20]Mr. Torgan.

[21]CROSS EXAMINATION

[22]BY MR. TORGAN:

[23]Q The whole ventricular system. Doctor, at one  
[24]time contains only 30 cc's of cerebro spinal fluid, correct?

[25]A It varies from patient to patient and age but  
161

[1]

[2]it's somewhere between 50, 70 or hundred in patients or even  
[3]120.

[4]Q I'm sorry?

[5]A It can't vary a great deal in terms of total  
[6]volume; 50, 70, 100.

[7]Q Doctor, as a general rule, anybody's ventricular  
[8]system contains only 30 cc's of cerebro spinal fluid at any  
[9]one time, true?

[10]A I have to answer it the way I just answered it.

[11]Q You've heard of Youman's?

[12]A Yes, I have.

[13]Q That's a neuro surgical textbook, right?

[14]A Yes, sir.

[15]Q Well known to you?

[16]A Yes, sir.

[17]Q Something that you referred to in the past?

[18]A I can't remember the last time I opened it.

[19]Q Well, you certainly know that Bennett Stein was

[20]a contributor to that textbook, right? **[\*61]**

[21]A I would trust that if you said so, yes.

[22]Q Well, Bennett Stein is somebody known to you,

[23]right?

[24]A Yes, sir.

[25]Q And his name has come up, what, dozens of times  
162

[1]

[2]just today?

[3]A Correct.

[4]Q And you even took the time to read his

[5]testimony, didn't you?

[6]A Yes, sir.

[7]Q Now, you trained at Columbia Presbyterian,

[8]didn't you?

[9]A Yes, I did.

[10]Q And shortly after you left Bennett Stein became

[11]the chairman of the neuro surgical department, true?

[12]A That's correct.

[13]Q And you actually know him personally, don't you?

[14]A Yes, I do, sir.

[15]Q He's a good neuro surgeon, right?

[16]MS. DOLSKY: Objection.

[17]THE COURT: Sustained.

[18]Q He's a world reknown neuro surgeon, true?

[19]MR. GABRIELE: Objection.

[20]THE COURT: Sustained.

[21]Q Are you familiar with him personally?

[22]A Yes, sir.

[23]Q Do you know of his work?

[24]MS. DOLSKY: Objection.

[25]MR. TORGAN: Withdrawn.

163

[1]

[2]Q Do you know that he specializes in brain

[3]surgery specifically, correct?

[4]A That's one of his major [\*62] areas, yes. I'm not

[5]familiar enough with his practice to know that, but I

[6]believe that's one of his major areas, yes.

[7]Q AVM, matter of fact, something you mentioned

[8]yesterday, you couldn't rule out on a cat scan,

[9]arteriovenous malformations were his specialty or is his

[10]specialty before he retired, correct?

[11]A I believe that's correct, yes.

[12]Q Now, you have a specialty within neuro surgery,

[13]correct?

[14]A If you ask me what most of my practice is I

[15]would say yes.

[16]Q And your specialty is different within neuro

[17]surgery than Dr. Stein's, right?

[18]A Yes.

[19]Q As a matter of fact your practice primarily

[20]involves surgery on the spine, right?

[21]A I would say that 70 percent of the surgery I do

[22]involves the spine, that's correct.

[23]Q And when we talk about the spine much of your

[24]surgery involves slipped discs, true.

[25]A That's correct.

164

[1]

[2]Q Herniated discs, right?

[3]A That's correct.

[4]Q You do a lot of spinal fusion, right?

[5]A That's correct.

[6]Q Now, -- incidentally, you have privileges at

[7]Columbia Presbyterian?

[8] **[\*63]** A No.

[9]Q Did you at one time?

[10]A Yes.

[11]Q At the time you had privileges Bennett Stein was

[12]the chairman of the department, correct?

[13]A For a portion of that time, yes.

[14]Q Now, you are at St. Lukes Roosevelt now?

[15]A That's correct.

[16]Q And you are not on call for any type of brain

[17]surgery, right?

[18]A I still take emergency call.

[19]Q I'm talking about brain surgery?

[20]A If there's trauma to the brain then I'm on call

[21]for brain surgery, yes.

[22]Q Are two physicians primarily on call for

[23]traumatic brain injury at your hospital, right?

[24]A I make the schedule, I'm not aware of that

[25]differentiation, no.

165

[1]

[2]Q You make the schedule?

[3]A Yes.

[4]Q First of all, before we get into the schedule.

[5]Seventy-five percent of your practice you've said in the

[6]past involves surgery on discs, right?

[7]A 70 or 75 percent.

[8]Q Okay. So that's approximately three-quarters,

[9]right?

[10]A Yes.

[11]Q And when physicians refer, I take it you get a

[12]lot of referrals from physicians, true?

[13]A Yes.

[14]Q Those referrals **[\*64]** are primarily cases involving

[15]back injuries or back problems, right?

[16]A Well, again, it would be pretty much the same

[17]break down, 70 percent back, 30 percent problems involving

[18]the brain.

[19]Q Now, you testified yesterday that you're the

[20]director of the neuro surgical department at St. Luke's

[21]Roosevelt, right?

[22]A That is correct.

[23]Q You didn't mention at that time that there's

[24]actually a chairman of the department now, right?

[25]A There's a chairman of the department of all

166

[1]



[2]continuum.

[3]Q There's a chairman of the department at

[4]St. Luke's Roosevelt by the name of Dr. Sen, true?

[5]A He's chairman of the department. All of the

[6]continuum hospitals which includes Beth Israel, St. Luke's,

[7]Long Island College Hospital.

[8]Q Sir, you would agree with me that there's

[9]something known as the medical directory, right?

[10]A Yes, sir.

[11]Q And the medical directory lists who the

[12]directors and the chair people are, correct?

[13]A I believe that's correct.

[14]Q Have you seen the 2004 medical directory?

[15]A I don't believe I have.

[16]Q Would **[\*65]** it surprise you to know that it's Dr. Sen

[17]who is listed -- I'll find it later -- as the director of

[18]neuro surgery at St. Luke's Roosevelt? Does that surprise

[19]you?

[20]A No, it doesn't, sir.

[21]Q And it doesn't surprise you because it happens to

[22]be true?

[23]A He's the one that appointed me chairman of the

[24]department.

[25]Q I thought you were the director?

167

[1]

[2]A Director, I'm using the wrong term, I'm sorry.

[3]Q Getting back to the spinal fluid that came out

[4]at the time of the second ventriculostomy, Doctor, there's a

[5]big difference between physician assistant Joseph's

[6]determination that it was 30 to 40 cc's and Dr. Mechanic

[7]that there was three to four cc's, true?

[8]A I think it's a ten fold difference, yes.

[9]Q And by ten fold you mean that's a significant

[10]difference?

[11]A Potentially 26 cc's difference, yes.

[12]Q I want you to assume there's been testimony by

[13]Dr. Ragone in the case that the entire ventricular system

[14]holds only 30 cc's of cerebral spinal fluid at one time.

[15]If what Physician Assistant Joseph found 30 to

[16]40 cc's, well that's as much **[\*66]** as Tom Guerin's entire

[17]ventricular system could have possibly have had at the time

[18]they did that second ventriculostomy, right?

[19]A By your numbers, that's true.

[20]Q And Physician Assistant Joseph not only said

[21]that there were 30 cc's or more but she said that it was

[22]cerebro spinal fluid, CSF, true?

[23]A I believe that's how she labeled it, yes.

[24]Q And she said that it came out under pressure,

[25]right?

168

[1]

[2]A Correct.

[3]Q And I don't remember the exact term but

[4]significant pressure is what that chart indicated, right?

[5]A Yes.

[6]Q And that was the North Shore chart, right?

[7]A Yes.

[8]Q That's in evidence here?

[9]A Yes, sir.

[10]Q That you reviewed over the weekend?

[11]A Again, over the weekend, yes.

[12]Q Now, you also said that your interpretation of

[13]that record was that it was a sanguinous ooze not

[14]necessarily CSF, remember that?

[15]A No, I don't think that was my testimony, sir.

[16]Q About ten, fifteen minutes ago you said the

[17]sanguinous meant yellow, right?

[18]A But I don't believe I said it wasn't CSF, sir.

[19]Q First [\*67] of all, sanguinous means bloody, right?

[20]A It means kind of yellowish.

[21]Q Sanguinous means bloody was my question?

[22]MS. DOLSKY: Objection.

[23]A It does, yes.

[24]THE COURT: Objection is overruled. The

[25]answer stands.

169

[1]

[2]Q You said I was right?

[3]A Sanguinous by definition means bloody, yes.

[4]Q It doesn't surprise you that there was a

[5]sanguinous CSF if there was still blood in Tom Guerin's

[6]ventricular system on June 3 and June 4 of 1997, right?

[7]A That's probably correct, yes.

[8]Q And you know, beyond a shadow of a doubt that on

[9]June 3 and June 4 of 1997 Tom Guerin's ventricular system

[10]still had blood in it, right?

[11]A Yes, sir.

[12]Q And you know that beyond a shadow of a doubt,

[13]because of the cat scans that are in evidence, right?

[14]A Yes, sir.

[15]Q And you know, also, that that blood that was in

[16]his ventricular system had been there since May 23, 1997,

[17]right?

[18]A Yes.

[19]Q In other words, that wasn't a re-bleed that he

[20]had at some point, was it?

[21]A I think that the majority of the bleed occurred

[22]at one time.

[23] **[\*68]** Q And that was the same old blood that had been

[24]sitting in the ventricular system since he had that bleed at

[25]St. Francis Hospital on May 23, right?

170

[1]

[2]A That's correct.

[3]Q That's old blood, isn't it?

[4]A Yes, sir.

[5]Q And old blood has a certain odor, doesn't it,

[6]Doctor?

[7]A Not usually in a setting of CSF, no.

[8]Q Just in other settings?

[9]A It doesn't usually smell, no.

[10]Q Old blood?

[11]A Old blood in CSF does not, in my experience,

[12]give off an odor.

[13]Q Doctor, you actually brought with you yesterday

[14]a letter from my colleague's law firm retaining you in this

[15]case, correct?

[16]A Yes.

[17]Q And I had asked for your entire chart or notes

[18]or report on this case yesterday and all you produced was

[19]that letter, right?

[20]A I don't think you asked me for anything. I think

[21]Ms. Dolsky handed you the letter.

[22]Q Yes, and you have it with you?

[23]A Yes, I do.

[24]MR. TORGAN: May I? Sorry to raise my voice to

[25]you, by the way, I just get carried away.

171

[1]

[2]Shall I mark this for Identification before

[3]I use it, your **[\*69]** Honor?

[4]THE COURT: Yes.

[5](The above referred to item was marked as

[6]Plaintiff's Exhibit 40 for identification

[7]as of this date.)

[8]Q Now, through -- not your lawyer, but

[9]through Robin Dolsky, my colleague, you were basically asked

[10]if you had any notes regarding your work on this case,

[11]right?

[12]A That's correct.

[13]Q And this is -- what I'm holding here on the back

[14]is the totality that you produced, correct?

[15]A Yes.

[16]Q And all it says, basically, is the transcripts

[17]of the depositions that you read, right?

[18]A That's listed on there, yes.

[19]Q Meaning the names of the people you read, true?

[20]A Yes.

[21]Q The names of the trial testimony you read,

[22]correct?

[23]A Correct.

[24]Q The names of the hospital records you read,

[25]true?

172

[1]

[2]A Correct.

[3]Q And the cat scans that you looked at?

[4]A That's correct.

[5]Q And also the OR, I guess that means office

[6]records?

[7]A Yes.

[8]Q Doctor, you would agree that this is a pretty

[9]big hospital record, isn't it?

[10]A Yes, sir.

[11]Q I mean, this isn't **[\*70]** one of those cases involving

[12]one day of treatment, is it?

[13]A No, it's not.

[14]Q As a matter of fact, it's a lot of treatment,

[15]just at North Shore alone, correct?

[16]A Yes, sir.

[17]Q I'm sure that you're a brilliant surgeon, but to

[18]recollect everything from that without taking notes that's

[19]quite an achievement, isn't it?

[20]A I made no attempt to recollect everything from

[21]the chart, sir, that would be impossible.

[22]Q I was here for your testimony and you seem to

[23]have good recall of the chart. My question is, I take it you

[24]must have taken some notes on it?

[25]A I did not, sir.

173

[1]

[2]Q Not one note?

[3]A I highlighted, I didn't take any notes.

[4]Q Did you bring the chart with you?

[5]A No, sir.

[6]Q Did you make notes on it?

[7]A No, sir.

[8]Q Now, you read a lot of the testimony, didn't

[9]you?

[10]A Yes, sir.

[11]Q And you actually read a lot of my examination of

[12]the witnesses, correct?

[13]A As indicated on there, yes. That's the listing

[14]of what I read.

[15]Q So you read Mechanic's testimony it says, right?

[16]A Yes, sir. **[\*71]**

[17]Q Dr. Ragone?

[18]A Yes, sir.

[19]Q Hanna?

[20]A Yes, sir.

[21]Q Engelman?

[22]A Yes, sir.

[23]Q Denny?

[24]A Yes, sir.

[25]Q And Stein's?

174

[1]

[2]A Yes, sir.

[3]Q Sir, did you read your lawyers questioning, when

[4]I say your lawyer that's a misstatement. Did you read my

[5]colleague's testimony of both Dr. Denny and Dr. Stein where

[6]she asked if I asked them not to write a report, did you

[7]read that?

[8]A I don't recall specifically, know I read it. If

[9]it's in there I read it but, again, I didn't take notes so I

[10]don't recall it specifically.

[11]Q Do you recall the answer was no, that I didn't

[12]ask them not to write a report?

[13]MS. DOLSKY: Objection.

[14]THE COURT: Sustained.

[15]Q Sir, you were specifically asked in this

[16]case -- we ask that you do not write a report at this time,

[17]you were actually asked that by the lawyer, right?

[18]A The only --

[19]Q My question was simple, Doctor. I don't mean to

[20]interrupt you. My question was, you were specifically

[21]asked -- thank you, Bob -- you were specifically asked by

[22]the lawyers for North **[\*72]** Shore, Dr. Hanna and Dr. Mechanic, not

[23]to write a report?

[24]A That's what it says, yes.

[25]Q Not only does it say it, it was underlined,

175

[1]

[2]wasn't it?

[3]A Yes.

[4]Q It was highlighted?

[5]A Underlined.

[6]Q Well, did you ask the lawyers at Heidell Pittoni

[7]why in the whole wide world they wouldn't want you to write

[8]a report on this case?



[9]A No, sir.

[10]Q Never asked that?

[11]A No, sir.

[12]Q Never crossed your mind?

[13]MS. DOLSKY: Objection.

[14]THE COURT: Sustained.

[15]Q Sir, you saw that Ms. Dolsky asked Dr.

[16]Stein whether he testified in court before, correct?

[17]A Yes, he did -- she did.

[18]Q You satisfied she asked if Dr. Denny ever

[19]testified in court as well?

[20]A I believe that question was there, yes.

[21]Q Well, did you ask her why she asked that?

[22]A No, sir.

[23]Q Well, you've testified in court before, haven't

[24]you?

[25]A Yes, I have.

176

[1]

[2]Q You've testified a lot more than Dr. Denny has,

[3]right?

[4]A I don't recall Dr. Denny's numbers so I can't

[5]answer that question.

[6]Q It **[\*73]** was three.

[7]A I would have testified a lot more than him then.

[8]Q You've testified even a lot more than Dr. Stein

[9]has, haven't you?

[10]You read his testimony?

[11]A I believe his numbers were lower than mine, yes.

[12]Q His numbers were substantially lower than yours,

[13]right?

[14]A I don't recall the specifics, sir.

[15]Q Well, sir, the point I'm making is that you have

[16]been confronted with that very question before in court to

[17]produce the records, the writings, the report that you made

[18]in evaluating the case, right?

[19]A I believe I have, yes.

[20]Q And you knew, certainly to a reasonable degree

[21]of certainty, that that would come up here, right?

[22]A I don't think I thought about it that much, sir.

[23]Q You are thinking about it now, right?

[24]A You are asking me the questions so I have no

[25]choice.

177

[1]

[2]Q The opinion about the infection was a recent

[3]opinion, by you, true?

[4]A No.

[5]Q Now, in reading Dr. Mechanic's testimony I take

[6]it you read the totality of it, right?

[7]A I read everything that was sent to me which I

[8]think was his [\*74] entire testimony, if I missed a day I can't

[9]be certain.

[10]Q It was approximately portions of eight days, the

[11]jury could tell you that. Does that sound right?

[12]A I don't remember the numbers, sir.

[13]Q Did you see that your testimony was totally

[14]consistent with his opinions in the case?

[15]A I don't think I compared it one for one.

[16]Q Let's do it now.

[17]He says that Tom Guerin died from an infection,

[18]right?

[19]A I believe he did, yes.

[20]Q And that's what you said, true?

[21]A Yes.

[22]Q He said that there was no hydrocephalus in the

[23]ventricular system by June 3 and June 2, right?

[24]A Correct.

[25]Q And that's what you said, true?

178

[1]

[2]A Yes, sir.

[3]Q He said that the ventricles were damaged from

[4]having been expanded by the blood so they weren't able to

[5]shrink up, right, and I'm talking colloquially but that's

[6]the sum and substance of what he said, right?

[7]A Yes, sir.

[8]Q That's what you said, true?

[9]A Yes, sir.

[10]Q Tell me something, he's a board certified neuro

[11]surgeon, Dr. Mechanic, isn't he?

[12]A I believe **[\*75]** he is.

[13]Q He operates on brains, right?

[14]A I believe so, yes.

[15]Q And he's an expert, isn't he?

[16]A I don't know if I can personally qualify him as

[17]an expert.

[18]Q Well, he's board certified, correct? He

[19]graduated from medical school, right?

[20]A Yes, sir.

[21]Q He was certainly expert enough to operate on my

[22]client's brain, right?

[23]A Yes, sir.

[24]Q My question is, did you ever ask the lawyers at

[25]Heidell Pittoni what they need a neurosurgeon for to be an

179

[1]

[2]expert if they have Dr. Mechanic?

[3]MS. DOLSKY: Objection, Judge. And I would ask

[4]for a curative instruction.

[5]THE COURT: Sustained.

[6]Q You saw he gave his opinions on causation,

[7]correct?

[8]A Causation?

[9]THE COURT: The law does not require a defendant

[10]doctor to be his own expert.

[11]MR. TORGAN: Thank you. May I proceed, Judge.

[12]Q He gave expert opinions on the issue of the

[13]cause of death, true?

[14]A He gave opinions. I'm not legally adept enough

[15]to know if that's called an expert.

[16]THE COURT: I think that is a legal conclusion,

[17]and I'm not going **[\*76]** to allow it as to who and who

[18]may not be an expert at the time of trial. It's

[19]not within the purview of this witness'

[20]expertise.

[21]Q Did you see that he was asked questions to

[22]a reasonable degree of medical certainty, did you see that?

[23]A I think all questions are asked in that fashion,

[24]sir.

[25]Q And that's a term familiar to you, reasonable  
180

[1]

[2]degree of medical certainty, right?

[3]A Yes.

[4]Q And another one is a reasonable degree of

[5]medical probability, true?

[6]A I think they're kind of interchangeable. Again,

[7]I'm not familiar enough with the legal system to know if

[8]there's a difference.

[9]Q Well, did you see that he was asked whether or

[10]not there were departures from accepted standards of medical

[11]practice, did you see that?

[12]A I don't specifically, but I'm not disputing it

[13]was there, sir.

[14]THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, these are all

[15]legal terminologies that will be explained to

[16]you in full and at length at the end of the

[17]trial when I give you my charge. Nothing that

[18]either the attorneys say or the witnesses say

[19]can sway you as far **[\*77]** as the law. The law comes

[20]from me and I will be the one to give you the

[21]definition and the explanation of those terms.

[22]You may continue.

[23]Q Now, in looking at the totality of the

[24]chart, Doctor, you saw that within 24 hours of the

[25]ventriculostomy Tom Guerin had purposeful movements as

181

[1]

[2]documented in the North Shore record, right?

[3]A Such notations were present, yes, sir.

[4]Q Notations by nurses, right?

[5]A I don't recall if it was nurses, doctors or

[6]PA's.

[7]Q There's no question that within 24 hours of

[8]ventricular drainage Tom Guerin was becoming responsive

[9]according to that record, true?

[10]A He was showing some purposeful movement, I

[11]believe. I'm not sure about your definition of responsive.

[12]Q Okay.

[13]Well, we're going to use the definition that's

[14]been used throughout the trial.

[15]First of all, to be responsive to voice you have

[16]to hear the voice, correct?

[17]A Correct.

[18]Q And to be responsive to voice not only do you

[19]have to hear the voice you have to process it in your brain,

[20]correct?

[21]A Well, there are two ways of [\*78] reacting. One is a

[22]noise and one is a command.

[23]Q Let's talk about command. Responsive to command

[24]has a certain meaning in medicine, doesn't it?

[25]A Yes, it does.

182

[1]

[2]Q It's not susceptible to interpretation from one

[3]institution to another, that is being responsive to

[4]commands, correct?

[5]A Correct.

[6]Q Being responsive to command means that the

[7]patient is hearing the command, correct?

[8]A Correct.

[9]Q He or she is understanding the command, true?

[10]A Correct.

[11]Q And he or she is actually reacting to the

[12]command, right?

[13]A Correct.

[14]Q And there's no question that the North Shore

[15]record documents within a day of that intraventricular

[16]hemorrhage, that thalamic bleed he was responding to

[17]command, right?

[18]A I'd have to look at the specific words but, I

[19]believe, such words are in the chart, sir.

[20]Q Now, being able to hear is a brain function,

[21]isn't it?

[22]A Yes, sir.

[23]Q It actually involves, first of all, cranial

[24]nerves, doesn't it?

[25]A Yes, sir.

183

[1]

[2]Q It involves the brain stem, right?

[3] **[\*79]** A Yes, sir.

[4]Q That was a good sign for Tom Guerin that he

[5]could hear on May 24, 1997, true?

[6]A Yes, sir.

[7]Q As a matter of fact somebody made a decision to

[8]treat him, didn't they?

[9]A Of course.

[10]Q well, what I'm saying is nobody said oh, Tom

[11]Guerin, poor Tom, he's not going to make it. He had a

[12]terrible bleed. They undertook to treat him at North Shore,

[13]didn't they?

[14]A Yes.

[15]Q They took the time of having a surgeon come in,

[16]some time in the evening, who was on call, correct?

[17]A I don't know about the on call.

[18]Q You don't know that part, okay. Put it this way,

[19]he had nurses, right?

[20]A Yes, sir.

[21]Q He had attending physicians, true?

[22]A Yes, sir.

[23]Q There was some residents, correct?

[24]A Yes, sir.

[25]Q PA's, physician's assistants?

184

[1]

[2]A Correct.

[3]Q Now, not only, Doctor, was there cranial nerve

[4]function in Tom Guerin on the 24th but he was able to have

[5]motor or muscle movement as well, right?

[6]A There was movement of his extremity, yes.

[7]Q when you say of his extremity it was the

[8] **[\*80]** extremity on his left, correct?

[9]A That's correct.

[10]Q And that's because there was virtually little

[11]damage, if any, to the right side of Tom Guerin's brain,

[12]right?

[13]A That's correct.

[14]Q And that certainly was a good thing for Tom

[15]Guerin, wasn't it?



[16]A Yes, sir.

[17]Q It was good because the fact that the right side  
[18]of his brain was spared meant, in terms of motor activity,  
[19]that he would be able to move the left side of his body,  
[20]right?

[21]A That would be the hope, sir.

[22]Q And he was able to move the left side of his  
[23]body, wasn't he?

[24]A The chart indicated, yes.

[25]Q Within a day, right?  
185

[1]

[2]A Again, you are tying me down to my memory which  
[3]is not that good but I'll trust that if it's in the chart  
[4]that you're representing it appropriately.

[5]Q Well, not only did he have that ability within a  
[6]day he had it throughout the course of his treatment at  
[7]North Shore, right?

[8]A My recollection is that he was observed at times  
[9]to have movement in his left arm.

[10]Q Now, you mentioned on cross-examination -- I'm  
[11]sorry, you mentioned **["81"]** on direct examination the fact that  
[12]Cecilia Guerin, his wife, who was there on the evening of  
[13]June 2 after the clamping, correct?

[14]A I believe Ms. Dolsky mentioned it and I said,  
[15]yes, I was aware of that.

[16]Q You used her observations to answer what's known  
[17]as a hypothetical question, didn't you?

[18]A I'm not sure which questions, sir.

[19]Q Sure, you were basically asked to assume that

[20]Ceil Guerin didn't see any neurological decline on June 2,  
[21]that therefore the clamping of the ventriculostomy wasn't  
[22]necessarily a bad thing, remember that whole series of  
[23]questions back and forth?

[24]A I understand your question now and I do recall

[25]that, yes.

186

[1]

[2]Q Well, so, therefore, on June 2 your crediting

[3]what Cecilia Guerin said, right?

[4]A Reacting to what you call the hypothetical and

[5]if it's true, yes.

[6]Q Well, you are assuming that what she said was

[7]true before you volunteered an opinion based upon that to

[8]the jury, correct?

[9]A The question was asked based on the assumption

[10]that it was a true statement and then I responded.

[11]Q Did you see that not only [**\*82**] was he responsive to

[12]the command but he was, according to the nurses, aware of

[13]the ventriculostomy, did you see that?

[14]MS. DOLSKY: At what time, your Honor?

[15]MR. TORGAN: May 24, your Honor.

[16]Q Did you see that?

[17]A I'd have to be shown it in the chart, sir.

[18]Q You don't remember?

[19]A I don't remember it clearly, that statement, no.

[20]Q Do you remember seeing it anywhere in the chart?

[21]A As you made note of I didn't take notes and I

[22]don't have an encyclopedic memory of the chart but I'd be

[23]glad to look at it if you would like to show it to me, sir.

[24]MR. TORGAN: I'll show it to you in due course.

[25]I just don't want to waste time looking for the  
187

[1]

[2]blowups because I haven't arranged them.

[3]Q Now, I take it it's your opinion that the

[4]nursing staff at North Shore was a competent nursing staff,

[5]right?

[6]A There is nothing in the chart that made me think

[7]otherwise, sir.

[8]Q Well trained to be in a surgical ICU?

[9]A I don't know how to answer that question.

[10]Q You offered your opinion as to custom and

[11]practice based upon your experience. **[\*83]** Nurses in a surgical

[12]ICU are generally well trained nurses, right?

[13]A As in generalization yes.

[14]Q And they're competent?

[15]A As a generalization, yes.

[16]Q And as a generalization they're caring nurses,

[17>true?

[18]A I think that's very reasonable.

[19]THE COURT: We're going to break now and we'll

[20]come back, instead of quarter after two, we'll

[21]come back at two o'clock. Let's come back at two

[22]o'clock sharp. Everybody be here and we'll start

[23]promptly at two o'clock.

[24]THE CLERK: Doctor, you may step down.

[25]

188

[1]

[2](Whereupon, the jury left the courtroom,

[3]and the following took place in the  
[4]absence of the jury:)  
[5]THE COURT: I offered the jurors time  
[6]limitations on the 21st that will try to assist  
[7]the attorney for St. Francis but we have to  
[8]remember that this trial is on it's 48th day and  
[9]I do not want to lose these jurors and they are  
[10]beginning to get, quote, antsy. So I worked out  
[11]a compromise myself, not discussing it with the  
[12]jurors, I had the Court Officer discuss whether  
[13]or not this would be agreeable with them, and  
[14]they agreed tremendously. [\*84] They agreed  
[15]unanimously, I should say.  
[16]Monday we have off. They have agreed to  
[17]work and make child care arrangements from nine  
[18]to four on Tuesday and Thursday.  
[19]MS. DOLSKY: If I may, I didn't bring a pad  
[20]up with me.  
[21]THE COURT: Tuesday and Thursday I'll tell  
[22]them 9:30. Monday we have off. Tuesday is the  
[23]22. Wednesday we have that juror that has to go  
[24]into the City to the doctor who probably will  
[25]not be back here until about 2.-30 so it doesn't  
189  
[1]  
[2]pay that day. That day is going to be off and  
[3]the 24th until one o'clock, that's Friday.  
[4]MR. GABRIELE: Tuesday a full day, Thursday  
[5]a full day and Friday a half day. Friday is  
[6]nine to one.

[7]THE COURT: Monday is a legal holiday,  
[8]Wednesday we'll be off, which they will get  
[9]credit, and then we'll have Tuesday and  
[10]Thursday, which was not an easy thing to get,  
[11]from nine until four, and Friday nine to one.  
[12]MR. GABRIELE: Judge, I don't think I'll  
[13]have any problem getting witnesses Tuesday and  
[14]Thursday but I'm going to have a problem putting  
[15]an expert on for half a day.  
[16]THE COURT: You have to do it. **[\*85]** This is the  
[17]way this trial has been going and you'll have to  
[18]do it. That's it. I'm not giving up another day.  
[19]I'm not going to let this jury take another day.  
[20]MS. DOLSKY: As long as we're discussing  
[21]scheduling there had been a question regarding  
[22]Candace Friedland Katz that Mr. Torgan said he  
[23]would speak to them, if possible, Thursday  
[24]afternoon. If that can be arranged.  
[25]MR. TORGAN: I'll do my best.

190

[1]  
[2]THE COURT: Now, Mr. Torgan, this is going  
[3]on three weeks now, I want those departures.  
[4]MR. TORGAN: I'll ask my office. I hope to  
[5]get them to you today.  
[6]THE COURT: There are two outstanding  
[7]motions and I can not decide those motions nor  
[8]do I intend to decide them without your  
[9]departures. I have no idea and I want the  
[10]departures prepared and I'm willing to attach it

[11]as an exhibit although I don't need it. The

[12]departures you gave --

[13]MR. TORGAN: I never saw them. I just

[14]dictated them on Friday.

[15]THE COURT: I'll give them to you, they're

[16]convoluted, they don't give any individuals who

[17]are responsible for the departures and I cannot

[18]make a determination **[\*86]** and I want them here by

[19]Thursday because I want to start working on that

[20]motion.

[21]MR. TORGAN: Thanks for giving the time.

[22]MS. DOLSKY: I'll have all the cites and my

[23]memorandum of law also.

[24]THE COURT: I want everything Thursday and

[25]I want the memorandums of law exchanged and I

191

[1]

[2]want the departures.

[3]MR. GABRIELE: The memos by Thursday.

[4]MR. TORGAN: They're making memos?

[5]MS. DOLSKY: Memos of law in support of the

[6]motion that was already made.

[7]THE COURT: In addition to which, because I

[8]need the pages, we have like 5,000 pages easily

[9]and you know exactly where you are going, the

[10]attorneys, and I want to know exactly where you

[11]are going, as well. I want everything exchanged

[12]Thursday.

[13]See you at two o'clock.

[14](Whereupon, the Court took a luncheon

[15]recess.)

[16]\* \* \* \* \*

[17]

[18]

[19]

[20]

[21]

[22]

[23]

[24]

[25]

[1]192

[2]AFTERNOON SESSION

[3]

[4]THE CLERK: Please come to order, remain

[5]seated.

[6]THE COURT: Would you excuse the witness

[7]for a few seconds. Just a few seconds.

[8]THE CLERK: Out in the hall?

[9] [\*87] THE COURT: Yes. Just for a few seconds.

[10]THE CLERK: If you don't mind, you can

[11]stand out here.

[12](Whereupon, the witness exited the

[13]courtroom.)

[14]THE COURT: I just want to put on the

[15]record that there was an indication that this

[16]case might come to some settlement, fruition,

[17]and I had --

[18]MS. DOLSKY: Your Honor, the Plaintiff

[19]isn't here yet. I don't know whether you wanted

[20]Mrs. Guerin to be here.

[21]THE COURT: Yes. Is she outside?

[22]MS. DOLSKY: They just went to get her.

[23]THE COURT: Oh. Okay. Fine. Thank you.

[24]THE COURT: I would prefer her to be here.

[25]THE CLERK: Come to order please.

[1]193

[2]THE COURT: I wanted to put on the record

[3]that I had the permission of all counsel to meet

[4]with them individually and together, as well as

[5]Mr. Horvath from the insurance carrier,

[6]regarding a potential settlement of this case,

[7]and I've spoken to Mr. Torgan. He wants time to

[8]speak to his client, and I need some sort of a

[9]response so that I could see what I can do. In

[10]the meantime, we're going to continue this

[11]trial, and until I hear from Mr. Torgan, in

[12] **[\*88]** either case, we're just going to keep going.

[13]Okay?

[14]Thank you.

[15]THE CLERK: Okay, Doctor, you may take the

[16]stand please.

[17](Whereupon, the witness resumed the stand.)

[18]THE COURT OFFICER: Ready for the jury?

[19]THE COURT: Yes, I am.

[20]THE COURT OFFICER: Jury entering.

[21](Whereupon, the jury panel reentered the

[22]courtroom.)

[23]THE CLERK: I remind you, Doctor, you're

[24]still under oath.



[25]THE WITNESS: Thank you.

[1]194

[2]THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and

[3]gentlemen. We were all here at 2 o'clock. I

[4]just want to assure you -- it always bothers me

[5]that perhaps the jury thinks we went for a five

[6]martini lunch or something like that, but trust

[7]me, we were all here.

[8]Thank you.

[9]You can continue, Mr. Torgan.

[10]Q. Doctor, before the break, well before the break,

[11]I asked you if you were familiar with the Medical

[12]Directory. It's just a photocopy of it. The book was too

[13]heavy to bring in. 2003, 2004.

[14]THE COURT OFFICER: Is this marked?

[15]MR. TORGAN: I'm sorry. Could we mark that

[16]for identification?

[17]THE COURT: Yes, please **[\*89]** do.

[18](Above-referred to item marked for

[19]identification as Plaintiff's Exhibit Number

[20]41.)

[21]THE COURT: It's been marked.

[22]Q. Now, the Medical Directory basically lists

[23]physicians in New York State, correct?

[24]A. Yes, sir.

[25]Q. And it also lists hospitals at the back of the

[1]195

[2]book, true?

[3]A. Yes, it does.

[4]Q. And it specifically lists St. Luke's-Roosevelt,

[5]right?

[6]A. Correct.

[7]Q. And it lists who the Director of Neurosurgery

[8]is, correct?

[9]MS. DOLSKY: I have to object to this,

[10]Judge. Hearsay.

[11]MR. TORGAN: Well --

[12]THE COURT: I'm going to sustain it.

[13]MR. TORGAN: I offer that in evidence, your

[14]Honor.

[15]MS. DOLSKY: Your Honor, you know I have to

[16]object on legal grounds. It's not admissible in

[17]evidence.

[18]MR. TORGAN: I withdraw the offer.

[19]THE COURT: Okay. The offer's been

[20]withdrawn.

[21]Q. Sir, Dr. Sen is the Chairman of Neurosurgery for

[22]St. Luke's-Roosevelt; is that correct?

[23]A. That's his title, yes.

[24]Q. And he came here a few years ago?

[25]THE COURT: Just a minute. You want **[\*90]** to

[1]196

[2]give that back to counsel please.

[3]THE COURT OFFICER: (Handing.)

[4]Q. And he came to St. Luke's a few years ago,

[5]correct?

[6]A. Three or four years ago, yes.

[7]Q. From another institution, true?

[8]A. That's correct.

[9]Q. And prior to his coming you were the Director of

[10]Neurosurgery, correct?

[11]A. At that time I was the Director of the Division

[12]of Neurosurgery.

[13]Q. Which I think you tried to tell us yesterday was

[14]under the auspices of surgery, correct?

[15]A. Correct.

[16]Q. And when Dr. Sen came there became a whole

[17]neurosurgical department, true?

[18]A. That is correct.

[19]Q. And he's the man, right?

[20]A. I'm not sure how to answer that.

[21]Q. That was very colloquial.

[22]He's the person in charge of the Neurosurgery

[23]department, right?

[24]A. He is in charge of the Department of

[25]Neurosurgery over all the hospitals. He designated me

[1]197

[2]personally as Director of Department of Neurosurgery at

[3]St. Luke's-Roosevelt Hospital. I can't answer the

[4]question beyond that.

[5]Q. Are you a salaried employee of the hospital? **[\*91]**

[6]A. Yes, I am.

[7]Q. Do people refer to him as the director?

[8]A. I can't answer that question.

[9]Q. Okay. Now, you opined to this jury before

[10]lunch, which seems like hours ago, basically, that my

[11]client died from a rampant bacterial infection, correct?

[12]A. That's correct.

[13]Q. And it was just a really fast moving infection,

[14>true?

[15]A. Yes, sir.

[16]Q. That nobody could control, right?

[17]A. I agree with that.

[18]Q. And it was obvious to everybody in the hospital

[19]I take it, right?

[20]A. Not at that time, no.

[21]Q. Well, it was obvious at some point -- well,

[22]Doctor, it's never a surprise when somebody on ventricular

[23]drainage gets an infection, right?

[24]A. I'm not sure how to answer, It's never a

[25]surprise.

[1]198

[2]Q. Well, it's a risk of the procedure you told us,

[3]right?

[4]A. That's correct.

[5]Q. You told us that a few hours ago, true?

[6]A. Yes.

[7]Q. Five or six times.

[8]A. I don't remember.

[9]Q. In any event, Doctor, many neurosurgeons

[10]prescribe antibiotics for the entire course of ventricular

[11]treatment, [\*92] correct?

[12]A. That's true.

[13]Q. Well, that wasn't done here, was it.

[14]A. That's correct.

[15]Q. As a matter of fact, the people at North Shore

[16]decided that Tom Guerin shouldn't be on antibiotics,

[17]right?

[18]MS. DOLSKY: Objection, your Honor, to

[19]people at North Shore.

[20]MR. TORGAN: Withdrawn.

[21]MS. DOLSKY: Excuse me, Judge.

[22]THE COURT: Sustained.

[23]Q. He had a whole team of infectious disease

[24]experts, true?

[25]A. That is correct.

[1]199

[2]I'm sorry. I have to amend that. I'm not sure

[3]the whole team. I remember one or maybe two names, and I

[4]can't --

[5]Q. David Brieff would be one?

[6]A. That's the one I recall.

[7]Q. Dr. Tannenbaum would be another, right?

[8]A. I don't recall that name. I'm not disputing it,

[9]but I don't recall it.

[10]Q. In any event, they were on the case, right?

[11]A. At least Dr. Brieff, yes.

[12]Q. Well, and Tom Guerin at some point had these

[13]high fevers, didn't he?

[14]A. Yes.

[15]Q. And in order to treat a fever the best medical

[16]practice is to determine what's causing the fever, true?

[17] [\*93] A. Correct.

[18]Q. And probably the worst case scenario for

[19]somebody is to have an E, coli infection causing a fever,

[20]right?

[21]A. That's correct -- it's a bad case. I'm not sure

[22]if it's the worst case, but --

[23]Q. It's very bad for the patient, right?

[24]A. Yes.

[25]Q. So they were taking cultures not on a daily

[1]200

[2]basis but every couple days, right?

[3]A. That's correct.

[4]Q. And they took cultures certainly well prior to

[5]June 4th, true?

[6]A. Correct.

[7]Q. And without getting into the nitty-gritty of it

[8]all, before June 4th they were all negative, true?

[9]A. CSF cultures, yes.

[10]Q. Well, that's what we're talking about, right?

[11]A. Yes, sir.

[12]Q. So when you're concerned about an infection in

[13]the brain it's the cerebrospinal fluid you're concerned

[14]about, true?

[15]A. Yes.

[16]Q. And certainly at some point Infectious Disease

[17]ruled out infection, true?

[18]A. As best they could, yes.

[19]Q. According to that record Infectious Disease

[20]insisted Tom Guerin not be placed on antibiotics at that

[21]time, right?

[22]A. I don't **[\*94]** know about the word, insisted. I'm not

[23]sure how to --

[24]Q. When the ventriculostomy was placed he was on

[25]antibiotics; Tom Guerin, right?

[1]201

[2]A. I believe he received a dose or two, yes.

[3]Q. And that's good practice, right?

[4]A. It's a way of doing it.

[5]Q. Well, that could kill any gram-negative or  
[6]gram-positive bacteria that might get into the drain,  
[7>true?

[8]A. It depends on which antibiotic you're on.

[9]Q. Well, you want to be on a broad spectrum  
[10]antibiotic that will kill all types of bacteria, right?

[11]A. There are risks -- there are benefits and risks  
[12]to using that much coverage. As with everything else  
[13]you're always balancing one against the other, and there's  
[14]a benefit and a risk in covering with antibiotics, which  
[15]is why there's more than one way to do it.

[16]Q. Okay. In any event, at some point Infectious  
[17]Disease decided not to cover him any longer with  
[18]antibiotics, true?

[19]A. That's correct.

[20]Q. And that's because they had ruled out any kind  
[21]of infectious process causing his fever, correct?

[22]MS. DOLSKY: Objection. Asked and

[23] [**\*95**] answered.

[24]THE COURT: Overruled.

[25]A. To the best of their ability, yes.

[1]202

[2]Q. Well, they checked CSF and that was negative,  
[3]correct?

[4]A. Correct.

[5]Q. They checked blood and that was negative, right?

[6]A. For the most part I believe there was one  
[7]positive culture of some kind.

[8]Q. But they found it was contaminant, right?

[9]A. They believe it was contaminant.

[10]Q. And they ruled it out. That's my question.

[11]A. And again, I'm answering to the best of their

[12]ability they ruled it out, yes, sir.

[13]Q. Well, that's all I'm asking you.

[14]And they did sputum cultures. Those were

[15]negative, right?

[16]A. The sputum had a variety of organisms in them

[17]which were not considered unusual, but they were not

[18]negative.

[19]Q. They determined that he didn't have any kind of

[20]pneumonia or infection in his lung, correct?

[21]A. To the best of their ability, yes.

[22]Q. And to the best of their ability they ruled out

[23]urine also as a source of infection.

[24]A. Correct.

[25]Q. Now, you did look at the totality of this record

[1]203

[2]over **[\*96]** the weekend, true?

[3]A. Again, yes.

[4]Q. The only person who actually said specifically

[5]that Tom Guerin succumbed to an E. coli infection or an

[6]E. coli ventriculitis was Alan Mechanic, M.D., right?

[7]A. I would really have to look very hard through

[8]the chart to agree with that, I don't know for sure.

[9]Q. Well, you just gave an expert opinion, Doctor,

[10]that in your expert opinion Tom Guerin died from an

[11]infection, right?

[12]A. That's correct.

[13]Q. And to come to that opinion you reviewed the

[14]chart, didn't you?



[15]A. That's correct.

[16]Q. And you reviewed the CAT scans, right?

[17]A. That's correct.

[18]Q. And you reviewed the lab results?

[19]A. That's correct.

[20]Q. The discharge summary?

[21]A. I believe I did.

[22]Q. The progress notes?

[23]A. Yes.

[24]Q. The only person in the entire hospital who said

[25]Tom Guerin died or succumbed to an E. coli fulminant

[1]204

[2]gram-negative ventriculitis was Alan Mechanic, true?

[3]A. Again, I know that record is in the chart. I

[4]just cannot trust my memory as to whether anyone else

[5]wrote that, sir. **[\*97]**

[6]Q. Well, take a look, Doctor.

[7]A. It's going to take a long time.

[8]Q. Well, has anybody pointed out to you that

[9]somebody else made the diagnosis besides Dr. Mechanic?

[10]A. No, sir.

[11]Q. Did anybody from Infectious Disease make the

[12]diagnosis?

[13]A. They brought up the question but did not make

[14]the diagnosis.

[15]Q. They what?

[16]A. The question was brought up, but the diagnosis

[17]was not specifically made.

[18]Q. Exactly. Infectious Disease, my question was,

[19]never made the diagnosis that Tom Guerin died from an

[20]E. coli ventriculitis, true?

[21]A. For the most part true, yes.

[22]Q. Incidentally, did you see how Dr. Stein was

[23]cross examined on the fact that Alan Mechanic was fired on

[24]the 4th, so how could he have known of the rampant E. coli

[25]infection on the 6th? Did you see that whole area of

[1]205

[2]cross examination?

[3]A. I remember that as being part of the issue, and

[4]I do recall testimony around that area, yes.

[5]Q. And do you remember the redirect of me

[6]confronting Dr. Stein with a June 6th and June 7th note by

[7]Alan Mechanic not mentioning [\*98] anything about an E. coli

[8]infection. You remember that?

[9]A. Again, not specifically, sir. I can certainly

[10]look through the chart and find it.

[11]Q. June 6th, Doctor -- let me help you. Doctor, I

[12]want you to take a look. June 7th -- June 7th, the day

[13]after the diagnosis -- withdrawn.

[14]The day after the lab results showing that it

[15]grew out of bacteria Alan Mechanic writes a note and

[16]mentions nothing about E. coli ventriculitis, correct?

[17]A. He doesn't mention the cause of death here.

[18]Q. He's not dead yet. It's June 7th. He doesn't

[19]die until the 13th.

[20]A. I'm sorry. He doesn't mention a cause of his

[21]demise. He doesn't give any diagnosis there.

[22]Q. He writes, Remains comatose, unresponsive to

[23]voice or pain. Pupils fixed and dilated. No corneals, or

[24]oculocephalic -- I can't pronounce it -- brain death

[25]determination as per neurologist. Alan Mechanic.

[1]206

[2]June 6th was the day this lab result came back,

[3]and Dr. Mechanic didn't think to comment on that as a

[4]result of his brain condition either, did he?

[5]A. Not in the chart, no, sir.

[6]Q. Now, you saw, [**\*99**] Doctor, that -- well, you read his

[7]testimony, true?

[8]A. Yes, I did, sir.

[9]Q. And you read Dr. Mechanic's testimony that he

[10]had left specific instructions for the staff to call him,

[11]basically -- and I'm paraphrasing it -- any little thing

[12]that might happen on the evening of the 3rd into the 4th.

[13]Remember that?

[14]A. As a paraphrase I can agree to that, yes.

[15]Q. And you saw that he was called at 7 PM by

[16]Physician's Assistant Engelman. You saw that, right?

[17]A. Yes.

[18]Q. You saw he was called at 1 o'clock in the

[19]morning by a Physician's Assistant Joseph. You saw that,

[20]right?

[21]A. Yes, sir.

[22]Q. Now, you've been a neurosurgeon on call many

[23]times, haven't you?

[24]A. Yes.

[25]Q. In fact, many, many times.

[1]207

[2]A. Yes, sir.

[3]Q. 1 o'clock call in the morning to a neurosurgeon

[4]is not a little event, is it.

[5]A. Depends on the call, sir. I receive calls at

[6]10, 11, 12, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, rather routinely.

[7]Q. And the 1 AM calls, those are to tell you your

[8]patient's stable, doing well, is okay?

[9]A. No. I think each call will be **[\*100]** individualized

[10]depending on the information that's transmitted.

[11]Q. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to step on your words.

[12]A. Depending on the information that's transmitted.

[13]Q. Doctor, that 1 o'clock call was about a 100 cc's

[14]of cerebrospinal fluid oozing out onto the pillow, right?

[15]A. I think that was the 1 o'clock call, yes.

[16]Q. And if I'm right, if I'm right, first of all,

[17]that there's only 30 cc's --

[18]MS. DOLSKY: Objection, your Honor. This

[19]is so inappropriate; if I'm right.

[20]THE COURT: Sustained whether or not you're

[21]right.

[22]Q. Let's say you're right and there's how many cc's

[23]in the ventricular system at one time?

[24]A. Depending on the patient, on the order of a 100,

[25]130.

[1]208

[2]Q. Let's split the difference and say a 115. If

[3]there's a 115 cc's in the ventricular system at one time,

[4]Tom Guerin is down a 100 of them at 1 o'clock in the

[5]morning, right?

[6]A. I don't know what the question is, sir.

[7]Q. Well, the chart says he oozed a 100 cc's out

[8]onto the pillowcase. You're not disputing that, are you?

[9]A. It says approximately -- **[\*101]** the two squiggles -- a

[10]100 cc's. It's not measured as a volume, sir.

[11]Q. Could have been more, could have been less,

[12]right?

[13]A. Correct.

[14]Q. You don't know?

[15]A. Correct.

[16]Q. But the nurse documented a 100 cc's. That's in

[17]the chart, right?

[18]A. She documented approximately a 100 cc's, I

[19]think, on the pillow was the statement.

[20]Q. He documented.

[21]A. I'm sorry.

[22]Q. That's okay.

[23]In any event, if Tom Guerin lost a 100 cc's of

[24]cerebrospinal fluid, and that was true, that's basically

[25]his entire ventricular system of CSF, right?

[1]209

[2]A. It's a significant portion of it, yes.

[3]Q. And assuming Dr. Mechanic got a call about that,

[4]that was a serious phone call, wasn't it?

[5]A. It was something he should be informed of, yes.

[6]Q. Well, actually, that's what it says in the

[7]chart; Dr. Mechanic informed, right?

[8]A. Yes, sir.

[9]Q. You'd agree that's something that he should have

[10]responded to, right?

[11]A. Well, I mean, he got the call and he reacted to

[12]it. I'm not sure what you mean by responded to, sir.

[13]Q. I [\*102] mean, gone to the hospital to see his patient.

[14]A. I don't think that that necessarily is true,

[15]sir.

[16]Q. You think a 100 cc's on a pillowcase is --

[17]withdrawn.

[18]You think approximately a 100 cc's on the

[19]pillowcase is an emergency situation for a patient?

[20]A. Not necessarily.

[21]Q. That had a ventriculostomy removed?

[22]A. Not necessarily, sir.

[23]Q. When you say not necessarily, that leaves open

[24]the possibility that it is an emergency, right?

[25]A. I think the patient was in a situation where

[1]210

[2]that wasn't one thing that would push one way or the

[3]other.

[4]Q. As you sit here today, Doctor, as a Board

[5]certified neurosurgeon who's the Director of Neurosurgery

[6]at St. Luke's-Roosevelt, is a 100 cc's of cerebrospinal

[7]fluid coming through a scalp post-ventriculostomy removal

[8]an emergency?

[9]A. I really don't know how to answer the question,

[10]sir. It's an observation which combined with everything

[11]else is part of the whole picture, but I'm not sure how to

[12]answer it beyond that.

[13]Q. Take a look at Physician's Assistant Joseph's

[14]note.

[15]A. [\*103] Okay.

[16]Q. It's dated June 4th, 8:50 AM. Retrospectively

[17]written about the evening before.

[18]A. Um, let me see a little better, sir.

[19]Q. (Indicating.) It's after Dr. Yi's note.

[20]A. Again, I'm still -- I'm still not sure if I'm

[21]seeing it.

[22]Q. Signed by Joseph at the bottom, and Dr. Brieff,

[23]Infectious Disease, is right under it.

[24]A. Okay. Maybe that will help me find it.

[25]I have it, sir. 8:50 AM, yes.

[1]211

[2]Q. Called by a nurse about 1 AM. That's a squiggly

[3]line, right?

[4]A. Yes.

[5]Q. Patient oozing CSF from ventric site. Towel

[6]saturated. Staples applied. You see that?

[7]A. Yes, sir.

[8]Q. Staples. That's pretty clean, isn't it?

[9]Withdrawn.

[10]That's pretty antiseptic; staples going into

[11]somebody's scalp, right?

[12]A. I'm not sure how to answer the question. We

[13]apply staples at the end of operations all the time under

[14]aseptic conditions.

[15]Q. Towels also, right?

[16]A. He's not applying towels, sir.

[17]I'm not sure what you're asking me.

[18]Q. It says the towel was saturated with CSF.

[19]A. Okay.

[20]Q. [\*104] See that?

[21]A. Yes.

[22]Q. You think E. coli could have been on that towel?

[23]A. Yes, sir.

[24]Q. Then it says, Dr. Mechanic was informed, so we

[25]know for a fact he's informed at 7 and at 1 in the

[1]212

[2]morning, correct?

[3]A. Yes, sir.

[4]Q. And then, he's informed again at 3:40 AM. See

[5]that?

[6]MS. DOLSKY: Objection, your Honor. May we

[7]approach for a moment?

[8]THE COURT: Certainly.

[9](Whereupon, a conference was held off the

[10]record outside the courtroom.

[11](Back in open court:)

[12]THE CLERK: Please come to order.

[13]MR. TORGAN: May I proceed, your Honor?

[14]THE COURT: Yes, you may.

[15]Q. Sir, it says: 3:40 AM, spiked -- I can't read

[16]that -- some temperature. It says, Dr. Mechanic again

[17]informed. CSF post-ventriculostomy discontinued -- oh. I

[18]see. CSF -- I don't know. What is that?

[19]A. CSF post-ventriculostomy discontinued, thus far

[20]negative, yes.

[21]Q. Well, we have him informed again at 3:40 AM,

[22]right?

[23]A. Yes, sir.

[24]Q. And we have him informed again at 6:30 AM, true?

[25]A. I don't know if it says informed again **[\*105]** at 6:30.

[1]213

[2]He's writing a note at 6:30.

[3]Q. See, it says, Dr. Mechanic notified by phone,

[4]about four lines down?



[5]A. I don't know what time that is though, sir.

[6]It's probably around then, but I can't tell you exactly.

[7]Q. Well, it's the third phone call to him after 1

[8]in the morning, right?

[9]A. Yes, sir.

[10]Q. Then you see where it says, About 30 cc foul

[11]smelling bloody fluid sent off for culture and gram stain,

[12]See that?

[13]A. Yes, sir.

[14]Q. You see that?

[15]A. Yes, sir.

[16]Q. Okay. Now, when something's sent off to a lab

[17]to determine whether there is an infection of some kind,

[18]first of all, you send it to Culture, correct?

[19]A. Yes, Sir.

[20]Q. Hematology, true?

[21]A. Yes, sir.

[22]Q. And Chemistry, right?

[23]A. If there's enough fluid, yeah.

[24]Q. Did I ask you about fluid? I'm asking you what

[25]the protocol is in a hospital. Protocol is you send it

[1]214

[2]out to make that diagnosis to three places, true?

[3]A. But the protocol is prioritized by the amount of

[4]fluid that you have.

[5]Q. Well, 30 cc's is plenty [**\*106**] of fluid to send off for

[6]that evaluation, right?

[7]A. It certainly would be, but --

[8]Q. As a matter of fact --

[9]MS. DOLSKY: Your Honor --

[10]THE COURT: Wait just a minute. You have

[11]to -- you're asking the questions. You have to

[12]allow the witness to answer the questions.

[13]A. Yes, it would be, but three or four cc's would

[14]not be enough, and the primary important lab result that

[15]you need is the culture and gram stain.

[16]Q. All you need is one cc to send it out, first of

[17]all, for a culture, true?

[18]A. The more you have the better, but one cc might

[19]be adequate, yes.

[20]Q. And so you got -- even if Dr. Mechanic's memory

[21]of the event is better than Physician's Assistant

[22]Joseph's, he still had three cc's, right?

[23]A. That's what the -- his recollection says, yes.

[24]Q. There was no chemistry on that culture, or on

[25]that sample. How about that, correct?

[1]215

[2]A. Correct.

[3]Q. It wasn't sent to Hematology, right?

[4]A. I'm not aware that it was, that's correct.

[5]Q. But it was sent for a culture, right?

[6]A. Which, again, is the most important **[\*107]** thing to

[7]send it for.

[8]Q. Well, I don't make a diagnosis based upon just

[9]one sample, correct?

[10]A. I'm not sure --

[11]Q. That's outside your area of expertise?

[12]A. No, no. I'm not sure what the question is. On

[13]one sample --

[14]Q. On one sample out of three.

[15]A. You mean on one test?

[16]Q. Yes.

[17]A. You said, on one sample. You mean on one test,

[18]sir.

[19]Q. Sir, protocol is it gets sent to those three

[20]places, correct?

[21]A. Yes.

[22]Q. This was only sent to one, right?

[23]A. Correct.

[24]Q. It was never reevaluated, true?

[25]A. Reevaluated --

[1]216

[2]Q. In other words, they never did another culture

[3]of cerebrospinal fluid after the 4th, correct?

[4]A. Not that I'm aware of, sir.

[5]Q. Well, look at the chart.

[6]A. No. I said not that I'm aware of by having

[7]looked at the chart.

[8]Q. Now, there's no doubt in your mind that Tom

[9]Guerin did not need a ventriculostomy on June 3rd or June

[10]4th, right?

[11]MS. DOLSKY: Judge, I object to, There's no

[12]doubt.

[13]THE COURT: Sustained as to form.

[14]Q. Well, [**\*108**] you testified that Tom Guerin did not need

[15]a ventriculostomy on the evening of the 3rd, early morning

[16]of the 4th, correct?

[17]A. I think I testified that it would not have had

[18]any impact on his outcome. I think that's the terminology

[19]used.

[20]Q. Okay. When Dr. Mechanic arrived at the hospital

[21]in the morning he did a ventriculostomy, correct?

[22]A. Yes, sir.

[23]Q. And he dictated a report based on that

[24]ventriculostomy, didn't he?

[25]A. Yes, he did.

[1]217

[2]Q. And that's good practice; to dictate a report,

[3]right?

[4]A. Yes, it is.

[5]Q. Now, he did it on the 4th, meaning the

[6]procedure?

[7]A. Correct.

[8]Q. Did you see when he dictated it?

[9]A. I don't recall, sir.

[10]Q. Incidentally, he didn't mention in his report

[11]that Tom Guerin had an E. coli gram-negative

[12]ventriculitis, did he?

[13]A. At the time of doing the procedure he wouldn't

[14]have known that, and at the time of dictating his job is

[15]to dictate what he does and what he sees at that time.

[16]Q. Oh. And not to give any history?

[17]A. A history, yes, but not subsequent events

[18]wouldn't **[\*109]** be involved.

[19]Q. I want you to assume he didn't dictate the

[20]report until June 9th.

[21]A. Yes, sir.

[22]Q. Certainly by June 9th he would have had enough

[23]information to know whether or not there was an E. coli

[24]ventriculitis in his patient's brain, right?

[25]A. I don't know the date that he became aware of

[1]218

[2]that, so I don't think I can answer the question.

[3]Q. Do you know the date that the cultures came

[4]back?

[5]A. I believe that the gram stain which showed gram

[6]negative rods and multiple white cells was available that

[7]day or the next. I don't know with certainty the day the

[8]culture actually came back to the chart, or when

[9]Dr. Mechanic became aware of it, sir.

[10]THE COURT: Doctor could you keep your

[11]voice up a little so that the two last jurors

[12]could hear you?

[13]THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

[14]Q. Did you see that the lab results came back that

[15]grew out on the 6th? Do you know that?

[16]A. I know it grew out. I have to look in the chart

[17]to find out the date that it came back. I just don't

[18]recall, sir. I'm not saying it's not correct. I'm not

[19]saying it's **[\*110]** not there, I just don't recall that on the

[20]6th people knew that. I know there was an Infectious

[21]Disease note on the 6th.

[22]Q. Doctor, there's no question there.

[23]MS. DOLSKY: Let him answer the question,

[24]Judge.

[25]THE COURT: Let's give the opportunity to

[1]219

[2]answer and then you can ask your next question.

[3]Q. Doctor, take look at the chart, June 4th.

[4]MS. DOLSKY: Objection.

[5]MR. TORGAN: I'm trying to help him out.

[6]MS. DOLSKY: Just right away he just

[7]continues on.

[8]MR. TORGAN: Just trying to help him out,

[9]Judge.

[10]THE COURT: Wait just a minute. If he

[11]needs help I'm sure that you'll be able to help

[12]him.

[13]Have you completed your answer?

[14]THE WITNESS: No.

[15]A. The question was asked when awareness -- when

[16]there was awareness of the culture, and there is a report

[17]in the chart that indicates, Test culture CSF -- I'm

[18]reading it from Mr. Torgan's hand -- gram stain, numerous

[19]red cells --

[20]Q. I'm asking for the date. It says, 6/6, right?

[21]A. I'll get to that.

[22]Numerous red cells, numerous white cells --

[23]MS. DOLSKY: **[\*111]** Objection.

[24]THE COURT: Whoa, whoa, whoa.

[25]MR. TORGAN: I'm just asking him for the

[1]220

[2]date, Judge.

[3]THE COURT: Just a minute.

[4]Do you have that report in front of you?

[5]THE WITNESS: I'd have to dig it out.

[6]MS. DOLSKY: I can find it, Judge. I think

[7]that will be much easier than --

[8]THE COURT: Just a minute. Just a minute.

[9]Can you find that report?

[10]THE WITNESS: If someone tells me first or  
[11]second volume it would help.

[12]THE COURT: Bob, do you want to give it to  
[13]Ms. Dolsky and see if you could find that  
[14]report.

[15]THE WITNESS: You think it's the second  
[16]volume or --

[17]MS. DOLSKY: In Volume 1.

[18]And, Bob, I can find it.

[19]Thank you (handing).

[20]THE COURT OFFICER: (Handing.)

[21]A. I believe I'm looking at the sheet which you  
[22]were showing us, and it has on that sheet dates of  
[23]specimen 6/2, 6/3 and 6/4, and there's a term that says  
[24]last release 6/6/97. I don't know what that means. For  
[25]example, the 6/3 the last release is 6/8/97, so I don't  
[1]221

[2]know how to interpret what those dates mean, sir, in terms  
[3] [\*112] of reporting of the specimen.

[4]Q. Okay. Doctor, you've actually told us on direct  
[5]examination that you've testified for the firm of Heidell,  
[6]Pittoni before, correct?

[7]A. That's correct.

[8]Q. And you said four or five times, right?

[9]A. That was a guesstimate on my part.

[10]Q. You've testified for them eight times, Doctor.

[11]Would that be more accurate?

[12]A. I would have to see each case. I wouldn't  
[13]refute it. I just don't recall specifically.

[14]Q. Well, did you notice that every -- you read

[15]Dr. Denny's testimony you said, right?

[16]A. Yes, I did.

[17]Q. And Dr. Stein's, right?

[18]A. Yes.

[19]Q. Did you notice that my colleague asked whether

[20]they've ever testified on my behalf before?

[21]A. I believe that was in there, yes.

[22]Q. Eight times, Doctor.

[23]Now -- withdrawn.

[24]Doctor, obviously not every case that you review

[25]comes to trial, does it.

[1]222

[2]A. No, sir.

[3]Q. As a matter of fact, you've reviewed cases for

[4]Ms. Dolsky's firm well beyond the eight times that you've

[5]testified, correct?

[6]MS. DOLSKY: Objection. That's **[\*113]** not the

[7]testimony. He said maybe eight, maybe five --

[8]THE COURT: Sustained.

[9]MR. TORGAN: Whatever amount, Judge. He

[10]said --

[11]THE COURT: Sustained.

[12]MR. TORGAN: I asked him eight. He said he

[13]wouldn't dispute it, so I'm using eight.

[14]THE COURT: I think that everybody heard

[15]what his testimony was. I'm going to sustain

[16]the objection.

[17]Q. Aside from the times you've testified you've

[18]reviewed cases for them, correct?

[19]A. That's correct, sir.



[20]Q. You've actually been in depositions, aside from

[21]trial testimony, haven't you?

[22]A. I have, yes.

[23]Q. And you've given depositions in other states as

[24]well as New York, right?

[25]A. On cases in other states -- I'm trying to

[1]223

[2]remember if -- yes. The answer's yes.

[3]Q. And you were asked in those depositions

[4]approximately how many cases you reviewed for various

[5]firms, correct?

[6]A. I believe so. Probably.

[7]Q. Well, why don't you give us a ballpark of how

[8]many cases you've reviewed for Heidell, Pittoni prior to

[9]today?

[10]A. I would really be fishing for a number. It

[11] **[\*114]** might be 15 or 20. It's totally a fishing number. I

[12]don't recall.

[13]Q. Now, you're an independent expert in this case,

[14]aren't you?

[15]A. Yes, sir.

[16]Q. In other words, you have no ax to grind one way

[17]or another for one side or another, right?

[18]A. That's correct.

[19]Q. You just want to give honest, factual testimony,

[20]true?

[21]A. Correct.

[22]Q. Now, you saw Dr. Stein was asked how much he was

[23]being paid to be in court, right?

[24]A. I do recall that, yes.

[25]Q. And you saw that they asked his hourly rate too,

[1]224

[2]right?

[3]A. Yes.

[4]Q. Now, you get paid to review the cases, don't

[5]you?

[6]A. Yes, sir.

[7]Q. And you get paid to testify in court, don't you?

[8]A. Yes, sir.

[9]Q. Now, you have a relationship with certain

[10]lawyers at Heidell, Pittoni that you deal with on a

[11]regular basis, correct?

[12]A. I don't know how you define relationship. There

[13]are lawyers who I --

[14]Q. I'm talking about business relationship, Doctor.

[15]A. There are lawyers who I have seen cases with

[16]more than one time.

[17]Q. And they call you, [\*115] first of all -- withdrawn.

[18]Ms. Dolsky calls you George, right?

[19]MS. DOLSKY: Objection, Judge.

[20]THE COURT: Sustained. Sustained. You

[21]know, what relevance does that have? Sustained.

[22]Let's go.

[23]MS. DOLSKY: He calls me Robin, Judge.

[24]THE COURT: All right. That's equally

[25]irrelevant. Let's go.

[1]225

[2]MS. DOLSKY: Exactly.

[3]Q. You have a business relationship with Heidell,

[4]Pittoni dating back to at least 1986, true?

[5]A. I think I've been involved in cases with them

[6]since around that time.

[7]Q. And aside from reviewing files and testifying

[8]for them you've had other business with them as well,

[9]true?

[10]A. Correct.

[11]Q. And you've had what's known as a fiduciary

[12]relationship with them, correct?

[13]A. You'd have to define that for me. I don't

[14]understand that term.

[15]Q. You've had a privileged relationship with them,

[16]true?

[17]A. I'm still not sure what the question is.

[18]THE COURT: Either am I, counselor.

[19]MR. TORGAN: Sure.

[20]Q. They've represented you as a client?

[21]MS. DOLSKY: Objection.

[22]THE COURT: Sustained. **[\*116]** Sustained. I want

[23]a side bar.

[24](Whereupon, a conference was held off the

[25]record outside the courtroom.

[1]226

[2](Back in open court:)

[3]THE CLERK: Please come to order.

[4]THE COURT: All right. The objection has

[5]been sustained and the answer's stricken. Let's

[6]go.

[7]Q. You testified on direct that you do 75 percent

[8]defense work and 25 percent for, I guess you'd say,

[9]patients, right?

[10]A. For plaintiffs.

[11]Q. Well, people who were patients at one time.

[12]A. No, not necessarily. Not my patients.

[13]Q. Fine. When you talk about doing 75 percent for

[14]defendants and 25 percent for plaintiffs, Doctor, would

[15]you agree with me that you're talking about including all

[16]types of cases, in that personal injury cases, like

[17]automobile accidents where somebody might be injured or a

[18]slip and fall or a products liability case; that's what

[19]you're including in that calculation of 75 percent, 25

[20]percent, correct?

[21]A. That was my intention, yes, sir.

[22]Q. Well, let's just talk about medical negligence

[23]cases, Doctor. You'd agree with me that the ratio is much

[24]different **[\*117]** in a medical malpractice or medical negligence

[25]case, true?

[1]227

[2]A. I don't have the numbers broken down, sir. It

[3]wouldn't surprise me if it was more for the defense than

[4]the plaintiff, but I don't have it broken down at all.

[5]Q. Doctor, can you find one case in here --

[6]MS. DOLSKY: Oh, objection.

[7]Q. -- where you testified for a plaintiff in a

[8]medical malpractice case?

[9]THE COURT: Well, I don't know what that

[10]is.

[11]MR. TORGAN: It's Jury Verdict, Judge.

[12]MS. DOLSKY: Objection, Judge.

[13]THE COURT: Excuse me. If the witness can

[14]answer I'm going to let him answer it.

[15]A. The question was can I find one in there? I

[16]haven't seen it.

[17]THE COURT: Well, why don't we -- he hasn't

[18]seen it, he hasn't looked at it, I have no idea

[19]what that is. Put it down, ask the question

[20]again, maybe the witness has -- can answer that

[21]question without the use of something that I

[22]have no idea what it is, and it's hearsay to

[23]begin with.

[24]All right. Let's start. Do you want to

[25]reask your question?

[1]228

[2]MR. TORGAN: Without respect to that or

[3] **[\*118]** with respect to it?

[4]THE COURT: Yes. It can be asked without

[5]respect to that.

[6]MR. TORGAN: Sure.

[7]Q. Doctor, there are records that people keep --

[8]withdrawn.

[9]You saw Dr. Stein was asked about his billing

[10]records. You saw that?

[11]A. Vaguely remember that.

[12]Q. Well, do you know that he brought them in? Did

[13]you see that part?

[14]A. I don't recall that part.

[15]Q. You obviously have billing records too, don't

[16]you?

[17]A. Billing records --

[18]Q. Yes. How much you bill on a case?

[19]A. Except for initial review I haven't billed since

[20]then. I have a bunch of piles of paper that list hours

[21]when I was reading that I haven't added up yet.

[22]Q. Doctor, would you agree with me that you've

[23]never criticized the care of another neurosurgeon in a

[24]medical malpractice case in New York State; would you

[25]agree with that?

[1]229

[2]A. New York State, I believe that in testimony I

[3]never have, that's correct.

[4]Q. You are a neurosurgeon, right?

[5]A. Yes, sir.

[6]Q. That's your area of expertise, isn't it?

[7]A. Yes, sir.

[8]Q. **[\*119]** When you told us that you do 25 percent

[9]plaintiff's work and 75 percent defendant's work --

[10]withdrawn.

[11]Have you ever testified for Ms. Dolsky before?

[12]A. No. I don't believe so.

[13]Q. You don't believe so or no?

[14]A. I'm pretty sure I haven't.

[15]Q. Ever review a case for her before?

[16]A. I believe I have, yes.

[17]Q. How many?

[18]A. Can't recall, sir.

[19]Q. Going back how many years?

[20]A. Ten or fifteen, something like that.

[21]Q. How many other firms, Doctor -- withdrawn.

[22]Heidell, Pittoni is one of the premiere defense

[23]medical malpractice cases in the City of New York,

[24]correct?

[25]MS. DOLSKY: I'll take the compliment, but

[1]230

[2]I object to the question.

[3]THE COURT: Sustained.

[4]Q. There are other defense medical malpractice

[5]cases that you have done reviews for, correct?

[6]MS. DOLSKY: Objection.

[7]THE COURT: No. I'll allow that.

[8]A. Yes, sir.

[9]Q. Defense firms in the city, right?

[10]A. Correct.

[11]Q. Aarons and Rappaport (phon.), for example?

[12]A. Yes, sir.

[13]Q. The old Bower and Gardner. **[\*120]**

[14]THE COURT: Okay, counsel. Let's go. Now

[15]I think you have the numbers, you have the

[16]statistics. You have everything in evidence.

[17]We're not going to name every single firm. It's

[18]3:30.

[19]Q. Now, you said, Doctor --

[20]MR. TORGAN: I'm going to go to the CAT

[21]scans now, your Honor, if I may.

[22]Q. You said that the reason the left ventricular

[23]system was still large was because of injury, correct?

[24]A. Yes, sir.

[25]Q. Not because -- not because of hydrocephalus,

[1]231

[2]right?

[3]A. Correct.

[4]Q. And did you see that Dr. Mechanic testified to

[5]the same thing?

[6]A. I recall something like that, yes, sir.

[7]Q. How many times have you spoken to Dr. Mechanic

[8]about this case?

[9]A. Never.

[10]Q. Never once?

[11]A. Never.

[12]Q. How many times have you spoken to Ms. Dolsky

[13]about the case?

[14]A. Numerous.

[15]Q. In person?

[16]A. In person and on the phone more often.

[17]Q. And did you go over what I was going to ask on

[18]cross examination?

[19]A. We discussed the case. I'm not sure if we

[20]specifically predicted your questions, but **[\*121]** we talked about

[21]the case, which I think would cover that.

[22]Q. Well, you certainly read enough testimony,

[23]right?

[24]A. I think so, yes.

[25]Q. Did you go over the direct examination?

[1]232

[2]THE COURT: All right. Now we're going to

[3]cut these things short. I'm going to allow your

[4]examination on this. We're not going to go over

[5]every little microcosm of it. You can get your

[6]questions in and you can get your answers in.

[7]MR. TORGAN: Thank you, Judge.

[8]Q. Did you go over the direct examination?

[9]A. We discussed the areas we'd be talking about. I



[10]don't think we went question by question in any sense.

[11]Q. Now, I'm going to show you --

[12]MR. TORGAN: Your Honor, can I ask that he

[13]come down so we can go over these films

[14]together?

[15]THE COURT: Do you want the --

[16]MR. TORGAN: Yes, maybe.

[17]THE COURT: Let's use this one. I think

[18]it's better.

[19]MR. TORGAN: We can put them side by side

[20]on this if you don't mind.

[21]THE COURT: All right. Let's bring it

[22]over.

[23]THE WITNESS: Should I go down there or --

[24]THE COURT: Just wait until **[\*122]** it's set up.

[25]Q. Doctor, do you mind coming down and discussing

[1]233

[2]these scans?

[3]THE COURT: I want to do the set up please.

[4]Q. Okay. These are the CAT scans from St. Francis

[5]Hospital, true?

[6]A. Yes, sir.

[7]Q. May 23rd?

[8]A. Correct.

[9]Q. And those actually are axial cuts of the skull

[10]and brain, correct?

[11]A. Correct.

[12]Q. And these are the soft tissue images --

[13]MS. DOLSKY: Objection, your Honor. May we

[14]approach for a moment?

[15]MR. TORGAN: Judge, can I just do my

[16]examination?

[17]THE COURT: All right. No. I'll -- let me

[18]see where this goes.

[19](Whereupon, the witness exited the stand.)

[20]Q. Doctor, there is, first of all, what I'm showing

[21]here, can you read that cut for me? I think it's Number

[22]7.

[23]A. Correct.

[24]Q. Where that blood is, that's in the fourth

[25]ventricle, right?

[1]234

[2]A. That's correct.

[3]Q. And we have the fourth ventricle in the next cut

[4]showing blood, correct?

[5]A. Correct.

[6]Q. Now, in the same cut as the fourth ventricle we

[7]see the temporal horn, left and right, [**\*123**] on Image 8,

[8]correct?

[9]A. You were pointing to Image 7, sir.

[10]Q. I'm sorry. Thank you.

[11]And we see it on Image 8 as well.

[12]A. Yes.

[13]Q. And you'd agree with me that those are dilated

[14]temporal horns, correct?

[15]A. True.

[16]Q. They're hypodense, unlike the blood which is

[17]hyperdense, true?

[18]A. Correct.

[19]Q. Meaning they're low in density rather than the

[20]blood that's high in density, right?

[21]A. Correct.

[22]Q. Now, I want to move up to the higher cuts in the

[23]brain where we see the ventricular system, but I'm talking

[24]about the lateral horns now. The lateral ventricles. Can

[25]you see?

[1]235

[2]A. Yes.

[3]Q. Now, there's blood in the left lateral ventricle

[4]in Image 13, correct?

[5]A. Yes.

[6]Q. And there's blood in the right lateral ventricle

[7]as well in that image?

[8]A. Correct.

[9]Q. And the same would be true of Image 14 and 15 as

[10]well, correct?

[11]A. Yes, sir.

[12]Q. Now, that was an intraventricular hemorrhage

[13]into the entire ventricular system, correct?

[14]A. Correct.

[15]Q. Both ventricles were **[\*124]** dilated, right?

[16]A. Correct, sir.

[17]Q. And when I say both, the left lateral and the

[18]right lateral, true?

[19]A. Asymmetrically, but yes.

[20]Q. The left was worse than the right, yes?

[21]A. Yes.

[22]Q. But the right was pretty bad too, wasn't it?

[23]A. Yes.

[24]Q. In later scans the right lateral ventricle

[25]recovered to relatively normal size, correct?

[1]236

[2]A. Correct.

[3]Q. There's no question about that, right?

[4]A. Right.

[5]Q. While I have it up here, I'm going to move on,

[6]would you agree that this is a scan that appears to be

[7]done with contrast rather than without contrast?

[8]A. No, sir.

[9]Q. You disagree with that?

[10]A. It's hard to say on this scan because the blood

[11]can look like contrast. The patient, I believe, had had

[12]vascular studies sometime before, which would be contrast,

[13]but I can't truly see anything on here where I could

[14]differentiate --

[15]Q. Over here (indicating)?

[16]A. That may be contrast in a vessel.

[17]Q. Right.

[18]A. Not certain, but it's very possible.

[19]Q. That's actually a blood vessel [**\*125**] that we can see

[20]on the CAT scan, right?

[21]A. It may well be, yes.

[22]Q. Middle cerebral artery?

[23]A. I believe so, yes.

[24]Q. Looks pretty normal, right?

[25]A. Right side, yes.

[1]237

[2]Q. Stay right here. You don't mind waiting here,

[3]do you?

[4]A. I'll stay right here if you want me to.

[5]Q. Did you happen to see Dr. Ragone's testimony

[6]about all these things?

[7]THE COURT: All right. Doctor, you want to

[8]resume the stand?

[9]MR. TORGAN: I was going to go to the next

[10]set, Judge.

[11]THE COURT: We're not going to go through

[12]all these CAT scans.

[13]MR. TORGAN: Only the ones that are

[14]important, Judge.

[15]MS. DOLSKY: Objection.

[16]MR. TORGAN: Well, Judge, he went through

[17]them all this morning.

[18]THE COURT: Let's have a side bar.

[19]MR. TORGAN: He went through --

[20]THE COURT: No. Let's have a side bar.

[21](Whereupon, the witness resumed the stand.

[22](Whereupon, a conference was held off the

[23]record outside the courtroom.

[24](Back in open court:)

[25]THE CLERK: Please come to order.

[1]238

[2]THE COURT: All **[\*126]** right. You may continue,

[3]Mr. Torgan.

[4]MR. TORGAN: Thank you, your Honor.

[5]Q. Now, you've commented on the May 27th CAT scans

[6]today or yesterday. Remember that?

[7]A. Yes, sir.

[8]Q. Yesterday?

[9]A. I don't recall which day, sir.

[10]Q. Now, the May 27th CAT scans were taken

[11]two-and-a-half days post-hemorrhage, approximately?

[12]A. Yes -- my math is off. Two-and-a-half or

[13]three-and-a-half.

[14]Q. And you commented that there were these hyper --

[15]MS. DOLSKY: Objection, your Honor. I

[16]can't even see.

[17]THE COURT: All right. Ms. Dolsky, get

[18]where you can see.

[19]THE WITNESS: May I step down again, your

[20]Honor?

[21]Q. Sure.

[22]THE COURT: If it's necessary.

[23]THE WITNESS: It's up to her.

[24]MR. TORGAN: Be easier.

[25]MS. DOLSKY: Mr. Torgan, can you turn it

[1]239

[2]around please?

[3]THE COURT: Be easier.

[4](Whereupon, the witness exited the stand.)

[5]Q. Now, we're looking at the anterior horns of the

[6]lateral ventricles here, right, and I'm pointing to Images

[7]13 and 14, true?

[8]A. True.

[9]Q. You'd agree **[\*127]** with me that the interior horn of

[10]the right lateral ventricle is considerably smaller than

[11]the anterior horn of the left lateral ventricle, right?

[12]A. Yes.

[13]Q. I want you to assume it was the right lateral

[14]ventricle that had the drain in it. You'd agree with

[15]that, right?

[16]A. Yes.

[17]Q. I want you to assume that Dr. Ragone testified

[18]that the right lateral ventricle was overdrained. Would

[19]you agree with that?

[20]A. I'm not sure what he means by overdrained.

[21]Q. Well, there's something that you mentioned to

[22]the jury yesterday called slit ventricle syndrome. You

[23]didn't say it in those words, but you were talking about

[24]people who were permanently shunted, correct?

[25]A. I don't believe the term split ventricle applied

[1]240

[2]in that setting.

[3]Q. You've heard the term, yes?

[4]A. Yes.

[5]Q. And you've seen at some point in the hospital

[6]record Physician's Assistant Lloret refer to the ventricle

[7]as a slit?

[8]A. Yes.

[9]Q. My question is would you agree that the right

[10]lateral ventricle in 12, 14 -- I guess that's -- 12, 13,

[11]14 looks slit like? **[\*128]**

[12]A. Including Image 15 --

[13]Q. I didn't ask about 15. I asked about --

[14]A. You can't make a judgment based on two slices of

[15]the scan.

[16]Q. That's three I asked you to make a judgment on.

[17]A. You can't make a judgment without looking at the

[18]entire scan.

[19]Q. But these are different cuts?

[20]A. Right. But they're one ventricle.

[21]Q. You'd agree with me that even if you include 15

[22]the right ventricle is substantially smaller than the

[23]left.

[24]A. Yes, sir.

[25]Q. I want you to assume that Dr. Ragone testified

[1]241

[2]at Page 315, without having to go to the transcript, that

[3]the right ventricle was overdrained?

[4]MS. DOLSKY: Objection. Asked and

[5]answered.

[6]THE COURT: Sustained. Sustained.

[7]Q. Right? Right here we see blood (indicating).

[8]THE COURT: If you get right in front of

[9]that I don't think the jury can see it.

[10]MR. TORGAN: Pointing to Image 14, correct?

[11]A. Yes, sir.

[12]Q. Now, there's something known as the foramen of

[13]Monro?

[14]A. Yes.

[15]Q. You didn't mention the foramen of Monro one time

[16]on direct **[\*129]** examination, did you?

[17]A. I don't think so.

[18]Q. Now, the foramen of Monro connects the lateral

[19]ventricles to the third ventricle, correct?

[20]A. Correct.

[21]Q. And that's what allows the cerebrospinal fluid

[22]to funnel down to the third and ultimately to the fourth

[23]ventricle, correct?

[24]A. Yes.



[25]Q. And if there's a blockage, whether full or

[1]242

[2]partial, that can make a ventricle enlarge, right?

[3]A. That is correct.

[4]Q. You'd agree with me that there's blood on May

[5]27th in the area of the foramen of Monro, right?

[6]A. Near it, yes.

[7]Q. I want you to assume that Dr. Ragone testified

[8]that there was a partial obstruction of the foramen of

[9]Monro on May 27th. Would you agree with that?

[10]A. It would be difficult to make that judgment on

[11]the basis of this scan without a dynamic study showing

[12]flow.

[13]Q. Well, one of the ways to determine is if the

[14]right ventricle is normal and the left ventricle is

[15]dilated or expanding, that's one of the ways to make a

[16]determination as to whether or not there's an obstruction

[17]in the foramen of Monro, correct? **[\*130]**

[18]A. In this case, sir, or in general?

[19]Q. General.

[20]A. In general that would be an important piece of

[21]information, yes.

[22]Q. I want to jump ahead to the June 2nd scans

[23]before you go back to sitting down. Fair enough?

[24]A. Yes.

[25]Q. Now, June 2nd was the day that the

[1]243

[2]ventriculostomy was clamped, true?

[3]A. I have to remember my dates. I believe that was

[4]the date, sir.

[5]Q. What I'm pointing to in Image 13, right here

[6](indicating), leading to the third ventricle, that's the

[7]foramen of Monro right there, little squiggly line, right?

[8]A. That's part of it; the lateral ventricle going

[9]toward the foramen of Monro. I don't know if I can

[10]absolutely be certain, but it's right in that vicinity.

[11]Q. You don't see the same image on the left side,

[12]do you?

[13]A. Not on that slice, no, sir.

[14]Q. You don't see it on any slice, do you?

[15]A. The damaged tissue is pushing toward that from

[16]the other side, which is distorted on that side of the

[17]brain, and making it difficult to see.

[18]THE COURT: Doctor, you have to keep your

[19]voice up.

[20] **[\*131]** THE WITNESS: I apologize.

[21]Q. Showing you Image 13, see where it says F?

[22]A. Yes, sir.

[23]Q. That's -- that's where the foramen of Monro is,

[24]right?

[25]A. It's generally in that area. The foramen is an

[1]244

[2]opening and not a long tube --

[3]Q. I want you to assume --

[4]MS. DOLSKY: Objection.

[5]MR. TORGAN: I'm letting him finish. I

[6]apologize.

[7]A. What's depicted there is a long passage.

[8]Foramen is a simple opening. It's a flat oval.

[9]Q. I want you to assume that Dr. Mechanic wrote and

[10]said that was the foramen of Monro. Would you disagree

[11]with him?

[12]A. I think that's the area of the foramen, yes.

[13]Q. Image 14, right before Dr. Mechanic clamped the

[14]ventriculostomy, there was still blood in the lateral

[15]ventricle, right?

[16]A. Correct.

[17]Q. And we see it in the occipital horn, true?

[18]A. On the left side primary, yes.

[19]Q. That blood never escaped from the lateral

[20]ventricle either through the foramen of Monro or out

[21]through the ventriculostomy, true?

[22]A. The portion of the blood that was there was

[23]still there, yes.

[24] **[\*132]** Q. And you'd agree with me that Image 15 has a

[25]larger anterior horn on the left than the right, correct?

[1]245

[2]A. Yes, sir.

[3]Q. Would you agree with me that another way of

[4]saying -- I'm sorry -- enlarged is dilated, correct?

[5]A. We could use that term, yes.

[6]MR. TORGAN: I'm just looking for one other

[7]term. Oh.

[8]Q. And another way of saying it would be expanded,

[9]correct?

[10]A. I think English would allow that, yes.

[11]Q. And an expanded temporal horn is a sign of

[12]hydrocephalus, correct?

[13]A. I don't think we were talking about the temporal

[14]horn, sir.

[15]Q. I'm talking about it now.

[16]A. An expanded temporal horn could be a sign of

[17]hydrocephalus, yes.

[18]Q. Well, you'd agree with me, Doctor, that on June

[19]2nd, just looking for the cuts with the temporal horns,

[20]which I can't find -- you'd agree with me cut 8 shows

[21]right here an expanded temporal horn on the left

[22](indicating), right?

[23]A. Minimally, if at all, but you can see --

[24]Q. You hardly see one on the right at all, correct?

[25]A. I do see it on the right, and it's very

[1]246

[2] **[\*133]** difficult to look at a single cut, again, because,

[3]remember, these are five to ten millimeters apart, and on

[4]this particular picture there's an asymmetry in the way

[5]the slice is made. We're seeing into the orbit here

[6](indicating), we're not seeing it here (indicating).

[7]We're seeing more over here (indicating) and less over

[8]here (indicating), so that it's not a perfect cut. The

[9]head is slightly tilted, which will give you a different

[10]view of the temporal horns at different levels making it

[11]impossible to compare one side to the other.

[12]Q. Is a dilated temporal horn in 9, right?

[13]A. Minimally, if at all, dilated.

[14]Q. How about 10?

[15]A. Again, I think I can answer the same thing,

[16]although I'm not -- I suspect that is the temporal horn.

[17]I'm trying to see if it's around the brain stem, but it

[18]appears to be the temporal horn, yes.

[19]Q. There's something known as unilateral

[20]hydrocephalus, correct?

[21]A. Yes.

[22]Q. There's no question about that, right?

[23]A. No, sir.

[24]Q. Did you see Dr. Mechanic said there was no such

[25]thing as unilateral hydrocephalus in his testimony? **[\*134]**

[1]247

[2]A. I don't recall that specifically.

[3]Q. Assuming he said that, he would be wrong,

[4]correct?

[5]MS. DOLSKY: Objection.

[6]MR. TORGAN: I can find the site, Judge.

[7]THE COURT: Sustained. Let's go.

[8]Q. Well, unilateral hydrocephalus, that's something

[9]well-known to neurosurgeons, that concept, correct?

[10]A. There can be dilatation of the ventricular

[11]system just on one side, yes.

[12]Q. That could be because of a trapped ventricle,

[13]correct?

[14]A. Among other things, yes.

[15]Q. And that's a term well-known to you as a

[16]neurosurgeon; trapped ventricle, correct?

[17]A. Yes.

[18]Q. I want you to assume Dr. Mechanic said there was

[19]no such thing. Do you recall reading that?

[20]MS. DOLSKY: Objection.

[21]Q. Do you recall reading that in the testimony?

[22]A. I don't specifically, sir, no.

[23]Q. Have you ever used the term pinched ventricle?

[24]A. I don't think so.

[25]MR. TORGAN; Judge, could we have a 10

[1]248

[2]minute break please so I can reorganize?

[3]THE COURT: Okay. We'll have a 10 minute

[4]break.

[5]THE COURT OFFICER: **[\*135]** Ladies and gentlemen,

[6]please follow me.

[7](Whereupon, the jury panel exited the

[8]courtroom.

[9](Recess held. After recess:)

[10]THE CLERK: Please remain seated and come

[11]to order.

[12]THE COURT OFFICER: Ready for the jury,

[13]your Honor?

[14]THE COURT: Yes.

[15]THE COURT OFFICER: Jury entering.

[16](Whereupon, the jury panel reentered the

[17]courtroom.)

[18]THE COURT: You may continue.

[19]MR. TORGAN: I'm going to try to keep

[20]everybody awake if I can this time, Judge. I

[21]don't know if I can.

[22]THE COURT: They're doing all right.

[23]MR. TORGAN: I was watching.

[24]Q. I want to get off the radiological studies and

[25]move to the clinical aspect of the case, and did you

[1]249

[2]notice in reading the chart that many of the team were

[3]under the impression that the ventriculostomy was going to

[4]be changed on June 2nd. Did you see that?

[5]A. I noted notation change ventriculostomy, yes.

[6]Q. And there was a physician's assistant who noted

[7]that, correct?

[8]A. There were several notations. I can't remember

[9]which particular physicians.

[10]Q. Well, Doctor, [\*136] one was a nurse who noted that?

[11]A. Well, again, I recall notations. I just can't

[12]remember the specifics; whether it was a doctor, a nurse

[13]or a physician's assistant, sir.

[14]Q. But it was clear from the totality of the record

[15]that people involved in the care and treatment of Tom

[16]Guerin thought it was going to be changed, right?

[17]A. Various people, yes.

[18]Q. One was Dr. Ragone, wasn't it?

[19]A. I do recall a note by him mentioning change,

[20]yes.

[21]Q. As a matter of fact, on June 1st, the day before

[22]it was clamped, he wrote, Await ventriculostomy change,

[23]true?

[24]A. Yes, sir.

[25]Q. And a physician's assistant in neurosurgery

[1]250

[2]specifically wrote that as well, right?

[3]A. I don't have that one in front of me, sir.

[4]Q. Did you see that Dr. Mechanic testified that he

[5]was talking with Cecilia Guerin about actually doing a

[6]permanent shunt; did you see that in his testimony?

[7]A. I recall at one time he was discussing that with

[8]her, yes.

[9]Q. Did you see that on June 2nd that was Tom

[10]Guerin's best day?

[11]A. I don't know how to answer that question, **[\*137]** sir.

[12]Q. You don't know -- you don't know how to answer

[13]that. Okay.

[14]A. In other words --

[15]Q. Did you see that on June 2nd -- and I don't want

[16]to bore the jury with this because I've done it before --

[17]but did you see on June 2nd that the nurse taking care of

[18]him, Tom Guerin, wrote that he got emotional when he heard

[19]a tape of his children singing. Did you see that?

[20]A. I do recall that, yes.

[21]Q. Did you see also that Dr. Mechanic, in his last

[22]note before clamping the ventriculostomy, wrote, At times

[23]alert.

[24]A. Yes, sir.

[25]Q. Did you see that the note right before that by

[1]251

[2]Dr. Ragone also on June 2nd wrote, Improving intracranial

[3]hemorrhage. Did you see that?

[4]A. Yes, sir.

[5]Q. Did you read Dr. Ragone's testimony where he

[6]testified under oath before the jury that he had no idea

[7]the ventriculostomy was going to be clamped. Did you see

[8]that?

[9]A. I just can't be absolutely certain of that, sir.

[10]I would not dispute it if you read it to me. I don't

[11]remember specifically, no.

[12]Q. Did you see where he testified that he didn't

[13]know **[\*138]** it was going to be discontinued either; did you see

[14]that?



[15]A. Again, I'd have to answer the question the same  
[16]way. It was sometime ago that I read that testimony.  
[17]Q. Well, I want you to assume the following is  
[18]true: I want you to assume that Dr. Ragone, the  
[19]neurologist who was treating my client, testified under  
[20]oath before this jury that he had no idea the  
[21]ventriculostomy was going to be clamped, and I want you to  
[22]further assume that he never saw my client when it was  
[23]clamped and the next time he came in after seeing him  
[24]prior to clamping the ventriculostomy had been  
[25]discontinued. Do you have an opinion to a reasonable  
[1]252  
[2]degree of medical certainty as to whether Dr. Mechanic  
[3]should have told Dr. Ragone that he was going to both  
[4]clamp and discontinue the drain?  
[5]MS. DOLSKY: Objection. Relevance.  
[6]THE COURT: I'm going to sustain that on  
[7]relevance.  
[8]Q. Well, there was a whole medical team treating  
[9]Tom Guerin, wasn't there?  
[10]A. That's true.  
[11]Q. That's why he was in the hospital, true?  
[12]A. Yes, sir.  
[13]Q. He needed intensive care, right?  
[14] [\*139] A. Yes, sir.  
[15]Q. He needed Infectious Disease, right?  
[16]A. Yes, sir.  
[17]Q. He needed a neurologist, obviously, true?  
[18]A. Yes, sir.  
[19]Q. He needed a neurosurgeon as well.

[20]A. Yes, sir.

[21]Q. Don't you think it was important for

[22]Dr. Mechanic to communicate to the neurologist that he was

[23]going to clamp and discontinue the drain?

[24]A. Important in what sense, sir? It was

[25]Dr. Mechanic's --

[1]253

[2]Q. In Tom Guerin's sense in saving his life,

[3]Doctor.

[4]A. It was Dr. Mechanic's decision and a

[5]neurosurgeon's obligation to maintain and make decisions

[6]about drainage, keeping it in, taking it out, putting a

[7]permanent shunt in. That's really a neurosurgeon's

[8]territory, not the neurologist.

[9]Q. Do you think it would have been good care to

[10]tell the neurologist that he intended to clamp and

[11]discontinue?

[12]A. I'm not sure that it would have impacted his

[13]care, so I'm not sure how to answer that question, sir.

[14]Q. In any event, did you see the note right after

[15]Dr. Mechanic's note where he had my client alert, that he

[16]was then poorly responsive in **[\*140]** the immediate doctor's note

[17]after that?

[18]A. The Infectious Disease note says, Poorly

[19]responsive.

[20]Q. That was my question. You saw that, right?

[21]A. Yes.

[22]Q. Now, that's a mental status change; alert to

[23]poorly responsive, correct?

[24]A. It's very difficult to judge in one observer

[25]versus the other.

[1]254

[2]Q. Assuming that both observers are correct in what

[3]they --

[4]THE COURT: Sustained.

[5]Q. You'd agree with me that going from alert to

[6]poorly responsive would be a sign of neurological decline,

[7]right?

[8]A. If it were one examiner comparing his own exam I

[9]would agree. If it's two different examiners with a

[10]different concept of alert, responsive, poorly responsive,

[11]there's no way to answer the question, sir.

[12]Q. Think it would have been a good idea for them to

[13]talk to each other about it?

[14]MS. DOLSKY: Objection.

[15]THE COURT: Sustained.

[16]Q. Take a look at the very next note. What's that?

[17]Whose note is that?

[18]A. I'm turning the page over and it says 9/3 --

[19]Q. 6/3?

[20]A. 6/3. I'm sorry.

[21]And I don't know whose **[\*141]** note that is , sir.

[22]MR. TORGAN: May I see it, your Honor, so I

[23]can --

[24]THE COURT: Certainly.

[25]THE COURT OFFICER: (Handing.)

[1]255

[2]Q. If you can't read it it's a good bet it's

[3]cardiology.

[4]MR. TORGAN: Pardon my back everybody.

[5]I can't read that.

[6]Q. Take a look at the next note. I can't read

[7]that. June 3rd in the morning, Dr. Hanna's note, right?

[8]A. June 3rd, '97, ICU note.

[9]Q. I want you to assume that's Dr. Hanna's

[10]signature.

[11]A. I think I can make that out, yes.

[12]Q. He says, Still unresponsive, correct?

[13]A. It says, Still non-responsive ICP 15.

[14]Q. Okay. What I'm driving at is it says, Still

[15]non-responsive, right?

[16]A. Yes.

[17]Q. And the next note is whose?

[18]A. Next note, Neurology.

[19]Q. Is that Dr. Ragone's?

[20]A. I believe it is, yes.

[21]Q. May I see it?

[22]MR. TORGAN; I'm sorry, Bob. Running you

[23]around.

[24]THE COURT OFFICER: (Hanging.)

[25]Q. It says, Ventriculostomy was discontinued post

[1]256

[2]clamping times 24 hours without development of

[3]hydrocephalus. At present he [\*142] is unresponsive.

[4]See that?

[5]A. In a second.

[6]THE COURT OFFICER: (Hanging.)

[7]A. Yes, sir.

[8]Q. Well, whose note is the next note?

[9]A. 6/3/97 ID Dr. -- what's his name; B --

[10]Q. Briefff?

[11]MR. TORGAN: May I see it, Bob? Sorry.

[12]Sorry, Judge.

[13]A. I can't make Briefff out of that, but it may be.

[14]THE COURT OFFICER: (Handing.)

[15]Q. No, Bulban (phon.). Okay.

[16]It says, Patient remains without purposeful

[17]movement, correct?

[18]A. It says, Patient remains without purposeful

[19]response.

[20]Q. Okay. And the next note in terms of -- you know

[21]what? Let me just see it and then I'll move on to another

[22]area.

[23]THE COURT OFFICER: (Handing.)

[24]Q. It says, Neuro unresponsive, for the very next

[25]note, and let me just look so I don't have to keep doing

[1]257

[2]this.

[3]MS. DOLSKY: Is that the 6/3 note?

[4]MR. TORGAN: Yes.

[5]A. Is there a question, sir?

[6]Q. Yes. This says, Neuro unresponsive, right?

[7]A. On that line it says, Extrem, which I guess is

[8]extremities, neuro unresponsive.

[9]Q. Fine. Well, there's **[\*143]** something known as the

[10]continuity of care in medicine, isn't there?

[11]A. Yes, sir.

[12]Q. And that has to do with the concept of members

[13]of the medical team knowing what's going on for the

[14]patient, correct?

[15]A. Yes, sir.

[16]Q. And it has to do with charting appropriately,

[17]true?

[18]A. Yes, sir.

[19]Q. And people have to be able to read the chart and

[20]evaluate it, right?

[21]A. Yes, sir.

[22]Q. Especially within the same institution, true?

[23]A. Yes.

[24]Q. From the time of the clamping, Doctor, up until

[25]that point, that's five medical people who just found that

[1]258

[2]he was now unresponsive, right?

[3]A. In various words, yes.

[4]Q. Well, that's certainly a decline in neurological

[5]status, isn't it?

[6]A. It may represent that, yes. It's very

[7]difficult, again -- I'm sorry.

[8]Q. Different examiners, I know.

[9]A. One examiner to --

[10]Q. I guess we can look at Dr. Ragone from the 2nd

[11]to the 3rd.

[12]A. Yes, sir.

[13]Q. Dr. Ragone on the 2nd -- I don't have it in

[14]front of me -- he's got improving, right?

[15]A. He does say that **[\*144]** after he writes after

[16]examination about moving fingers maybe to command or

[17]possibly on command.

[18]Q. He now appears to arouse and move left fingers

[19]possibly on commands.

[20]That's what it says, right?

[21]A. Yes, sir.

[22]Q. And then it says, Impression: ICH, which is

[23]intracerebral hemorrhage, true?

[24]A. Yes.

[25]Q. Improving clinically, right?

[1]259

[2]A. Yes.

[3]Q. And clinically means based upon his physical

[4]examination, right?

[5]A. Yes.

[6]Q. And his very next exam, Dr. Ragone's, is June

[7]3rd, isn't it?

[8]A. Yes, sir.

[9]Q. And he has him, At present he is unresponsive,

[10>true?

[11]A. Yes.

[12]Q. And it says, Except for mild left grasp and

[13]triple flexion on left.

[14]That's not a good sign, is it, neurologically

[15]speaking?

[16]A. It's indicative of the damage that he suffered,

[17]yes.

[18]Q. And it's indicative of the fact that he's gotten

[19]worse from the time that Dr. Ragone saw him on the 2nd,

[20]before it was clamped, to the time he saw him on the 3rd,

[21]after it was discontinued, true?

[22]A. Again, I can only read the words. **[\*145]** There is with

[23]the same observer a difference in the examination and his

[24]statement, Transient clinical improvement yesterday. He

[25]doesn't state distinctly worse today.

[1]260

[2]Q. He has him unresponsive, doesn't he?

[3]A. Yes, sir. But he doesn't define to what;

[4]verbal, painful, et cetera, et cetera.

[5]Q. Now, intracranial pressure isn't something that

[6]you spent a lot of time on on direct, is it; ICP.

[7]THE COURT: All right. Let's get to the

[8]questions. That's exactly what I don't want.

[9]MR. TORGAN: I apologize.

[10]Q. Intracranial pressure has been kept on an hourly

[11]basis from the time Tom Guerin came into the hospital

[12]until they discontinued the drain, true?

[13]A. Yes.

[14]Q. And the one thing about that ventriculostomy

[15]prior to clamping was it kept the intraventricular

[16]pressure or the intracranial pressure within normal

[17]limits, didn't it?

[18]A. It measured it. I'm not sure what you mean by

[19]it kept the pressure within normal limits.

[20]Q. Well, ICP is measured a certain way, true?

[21]A. Yeah. Yes. I'm sorry.

[22]Q. Did you know that there was --

[23] **[\*146]** MR. TORGAN: I'm showing the witness 9 in

[24]evidence.

[25]MS. DOLSKY: Can I see what that is please?

[1]261

[2]MR. TORGAN: Yes (indicating).

[3]Q. There was actually an ICP monitor, not exactly

[4]like this one, from the testimony, but a monitor that



[5]digitally read out the intracranial pressure. You heard

[6]that or you read that in the testimony, true?

[7]A. Yes.

[8]Q. And it was set at different times at various

[9]points to siphon off cerebrospinal fluid once it reached a

[10]certain pressure, right?

[11]A. I'm sorry. You were talking about the monitor,

[12]then you said it was set. The monitor wasn't set.

[13]Q. Sure. Did you see in the physician's orders

[14]that Dr. Mechanic ordered that it be set first at 12

[15]centimeters, then at 13, and then finally at 18?

[16]A. Yes.

[17]Q. And what that meant was once intracranial

[18]pressure reached a certain level, whether it be 12, 13 or

[19]18, it would siphon it off if it went any higher, true?

[20]A. That's partly true. The other part is that I

[21]think I testified earlier that in changing position, in

[22]stimulating the patient, because it's the least path **[\*147]** of

[23]resistance, that fluid will spill over and that system,

[24]even if the pressure is zero, two, three, four, five, ten,

[25]twelve -- in other words, frequently with the drain in

[1]262

[2]it's my experience that a patient with low pressure will

[3]still put out significant quantities of CSF because of

[4]bucking during suctioning, because of coughing, because of

[5]change in position, so it's not strictly correct that the

[6]drain is keeping the intracranial pressure at that level.

[7]Q. Ideally, to protect the patient, it is designed

[8]to keep the intracranial pressure within normal limits,

[9]correct?

[10]A. Yes.

[11]Q. And my question was it was doing that for Tom

[12]Guerin, wasn't it.

[13]A. I tried to answer it as best I could, sir.

[14]Q. Well, was it or wasn't it? Was it or wasn't it?

[15]A. Whether it was the process that was keeping the

[16]pressure down or whether absorption of CSF was what was

[17]keeping the pressure down is unknown, and which is why I

[18]cannot answer your question.

[19]Q. Oh. I see. In other words, it's your position

[20]that it might be that his pressure was down normally

[21]without the [\*148] benefit of the ventriculostomy.

[22]A. That's correct.

[23]Q. I see. Okay.

[24]Well, first of all, normal pressure, Doctor, you

[25]testified before, was 20 centimeters of water, correct?

[1]263

[2]A. That's the upper limit of what we call normal,

[3]yes.

[4]Q. As a matter of fact, normal would be less than

[5]15, true?

[6]A. Yes.

[7]Q. And you'd agree with me that a significant

[8]elevation would be anything over 28 eight, true?

[9]A. By the time you get to 28 or 30 you're getting

[10]concerned, yes.

[11]Q. Well, my question is specifically significant.

[12]Would you agree 30, say 30, is a significant elevation of

[13]intracranial pressure?

[14]A. I think, yes.

[15]Q. You see that at 1700 hours -- actually, it's  
[16]noted at 1700 but it was 1750 by the nurse's notes -- that  
[17]Dr. Mechanic clamped the ventriculostomy. You know that,  
[18]right?

[19]A. Yes, sir.

[20]Q. And the record says that at 1800 hours the ICP  
[21]was 28, true?

[22]A. I believe it does, yes.

[23]Q. And then at 1900 hours, according to this  
[24]record, it was still 28, right?

[25]A. Yes, sir.

[1]264

[2]Q. [\*149] That's elevated intracranial pressure, true?

[3]A. Yes, sir.

[4]Q. And then at 8 o'clock it's 29, right?

[5]A. Yes, sir.

[6]Q. And at 2100 hours, which would be 9 o'clock, it  
[7]was up to 30, true?

[8]A. Yes, sir.

[9]Q. That's four hours of elevated intracranial  
[10]pressure according to the North Shore record, right?

[11]A. It was four hours where it was at 30, which  
[12]we've agreed is elevated, yes.

[13]Q. I'm sorry. What?

[14]A. I said yes.

[15]Q. Did you ever hear the term -- and I'm being  
[16]colloquial -- cooking the patient?

[17]A. I don't think so.

[18]Q. How long do you suppose Tom Guerin could  
[19]withstand intracranial pressures above 28; how many hours?

[20]A. At 30, probably for very prolonged period of  
[21]time.

[22]Q. You think it was appropriate to put him through  
[23]four straight hours above 28?

[24]A. We've seen him fluctuate up and down even with  
[25]the drain in, and that level --  
[1]265

[2]Q. You've seen who fluctuate up and down?

[3]A. There had been fluctuations in his pressure at  
[4]points where he went up that high and went back down.

[5]Q. Can **[\*150]** you show me?

[6]A. I think right there he went back down to 15.

[7]Q. Prior to clamping. I'm sorry.

[8]A. I don't recall, sir. I was talking about this  
[9]sequence here (indicating).

[10]Q. Okay. Well, sir, you're not saying during his  
[11]hospital stay he had fluctuations up and down like that,  
[12]are you?

[13]A. I think he did have fluctuations up and down.

[14]Q. With the intracranial pressure?

[15]A. Yes.

[16]Q. Could you point that out to us, please, and I'm  
[17]talking about elevated intracranial pressure while he was  
[18]on the ventriculostomy prior to clamping.

[19]MS. DOLSKY: Objection. Different  
[20]question.

[21]THE COURT: Which question do you want to  
[22]ask?

[23]MR. TORGAN: It was the same question.

[24]THE COURT: Didn't sound the same to me.

[25]You want to clarify?

[1]266

[2]MR. TORGAN: I'm talking about intracranial

[3]pressure.

[4]THE COURT: When?

[5]MR. TORGAN: Prior to clamping.

[6]THE COURT: Prior to clamping.

[7]THE WITNESS: Okay.

[8]A. I stand corrected, sir. At least from viewing

[9]6/1 on the first fluctuation where he reached 29, then 30,

[10] **[\*151]** then 21, then 25 was on 6/2 between 1800 and 2100 and then

[11]he went back down lower again.

[12]Q. And then he went back up, right?

[13]A. Yes.

[14]Q. Now, you saw in the chart, and you were asked a

[15]hypothetical question on this, that Ceil Guerin was there

[16]on the evening of June 2nd, right?

[17]A. Yes.

[18]Q. And the family was very concerned about the

[19]clamping, according to the chart, right?

[20]A. I recall somewhere in the testimony or in the

[21]chart that that was stated, yes.

[22]Q. It says: 1750 -- this is how we know that it

[23]was clamped at ten to six -- it says: M.D. Mechanic at

[24]bedside to clamp ventriculostomy drain. Will continue to

[25]follow-up. See that?

[1]267

[2]A. Yes, sir, I've seen that.

[3]Q. And do you see up at 9:30 AM, at the top of the

[4]same page by Nurse Burford (phon.), she writes:

[5]Dr. Mechanic in to see patient. Head CAT scan schedule

[6]and ventriculostomy to be changed. Do you see that?

[7]A. You're ahead of me now, sir.

[8]Q. Sure. Going up to the --

[9]A. I'm not at that sheet.

[10]Q. Oh. I'm sorry. You're not there yet?

[11]A. I'm sorry. I'm [\*152] one day off. We're going from

[12]6/2 to 6/3, sir?

[13]Q. I'm on 6/2.

[14]A. Yeah. Okay. I have the right sheet. Where are

[15]we now?

[16]Q. Why don't we start at the top. You see 9:30?

[17]A. Yes, sir.

[18]Q. You see the second line after that, it says,

[19]Schedule and ventriculostomy to be changed. You see the

[20]delta sign?

[21]A. There's a delta, then there's something crossed

[22]out next to it. It looked like a delta, yes.

[23]Q. And delta in medicine means change, right?

[24]A. Yes.

[25]Q. Did you see that Dr. Mechanic testified that the

[1]268

[2]reason he clamped it was because he was hopeful, based

[3]upon the clinical examination of Mr. Guerin on June 2nd.

[4]Did you see that?

[5]A. He was hopeful of what?

[6]Q. Recovery.

[7]A. I don't recall the specifics. You'd have to

[8]show it to me, sir. Was it a June 2nd progress note?

[9]Q. I'm talking about his testimony. You read all

[10]his testimony, right?

[11]A. Yes, but I honestly admit I can't recall every

[12]word of it.

[13]Q. Anyway, 1800 hours, it says ICPs increase,

[14]doesn't it?

[15]A. Yes.

[16]Q. It says, M. [\*153] D. Engelman paged and made aware and

[17]at bedside to assess. Patient's family at bedside upset

[18]and asking questions. Questions answered. M.D. Mechanic

[19]called by P.A. Engelman. You see that?

[20]A. Yes, sir.

[21]Q. And then it says, Ventriculostomy to remain.

[22]A. Yes, sir.

[23]Q. Did you read any of Ceil Guerin's testimony in

[24]the case?

[25]A. No, I did not, sir -- you mean, during the

[1]269

[2]trial? No.

[3]Q. You just read her deposition?

[4]A. I read her deposition ages ago. I believe I

[5]did.

[6]Q. Did you see that she testified that Dr. Mechanic

[7]had told her that the plan was to internalize the shunt

[8]and do a permanent ventricular peritoneal shunt. Did you

[9]see any of that testimony?

[10]A. I just can't remember specifically, sir.

[11]Q. Did you see any of Dr. Mechanic's testimony

[12]where he said that they were talking about that as well?

[13]A. I believe that he was considering that along the

[14]way if necessary, yes.

[15]Q. Do you think clamping and discontinuing a drain,  
[16]a ventriculostomy, was something that should have been  
[17]discussed with the wife of the patient [\*154] before doing it?

[18]MS. DOLSKY: Objection.

[19]THE COURT: I'm going to sustain that.

[20]Q. Do you know if Dr. Mechanic ever discussed with  
[21]Ceil Guerin the fact that he was going to either clamp the  
[22]ventriculostomy or discontinue it?

[23]THE COURT: I'm going to sustain that as  
[24]well.

[25]If this witness knows. The testimony has  
[1]270

[2]been given by Dr. Mechanic and by Mrs. Guerin as  
[3]to any discussions that had or had not been had.

[4]MR. TORGAN: I'm sorry. I'm not following.  
[5]I apologize.

[6]THE COURT: There has been testimony on  
[7]this issue --

[8]MR. TORGAN: Right.

[9]THE COURT: -- from Dr. Mechanic and from  
[10]Mrs. Guerin.

[11]MR. TORGAN: Yes. I'm just asking if he's  
[12]aware of what the discussions were.

[13]THE COURT: Relevance.

[14]Q. Well, isn't it good practice. Doctor, to inform  
[15]the next of kin whether a ventriculostomy is going to be  
[16]discontinued?

[17]MS. DOLSKY: Objection.

[18]THE COURT: Sustained.

[19]MR. TORGAN: On that whole issue, Judge?



[20](Whereupon a conference was held off the

[21]record outside the courtroom.

[22](Back in open court: [\*155] )

[23]THE CLERK: Please come to order.

[24]THE COURT: All right. You may continue.

[25]The objection has been sustained.

[1]271

[2]Q. Do you know why -- withdrawn.

[3]See at 9 o'clock in the morning on June 3rd his

[4]ICP was 26?

[5]A. YeS, sir.

[6]Q. And then there's no evaluation at 10 o'clock,

[7]right?

[8]A. There's no recorded note, that's correct.

[9]Q. And then there's an evaluation of 30, right?

[10]A. Yes, sir.

[11]Q. And at that time that the intracranial pressure

[12]showed 30 the ventriculostomy was removed from Tom

[13]Guerin's head, right?

[14]A. Yes, sir.

[15]Q. And 30, you already told us not ten minutes ago,

[16]was a significantly elevated intracranial pressure, true?

[17]A. I think that what I stated was we are worried at

[18]30, and significant takes on various meanings, but I think

[19]it's elevated and were aware of that.

[20]Q. So you didn't say significant ten minutes ago?

[21]A. I think I agreed with you, yes.

[22]Q. Do you still agree that 30 is significantly

[23]elevated?

[24]A. Well, again, we're talking --

[25]THE COURT; We're not going to get into

[1] [\*156] 272

[2]this. Let's go. Let's go with the next

[3]question.

[4]Q. Do you know from reading the chart that

[5]Dr. Mechanic was not the person who discontinued the

[6]drain?

[7]A. I am not absolutely certain whether it was him

[8]or one of the PA's. I believe it was one of the PA's.

[9]Q. Well, there was a PA by the name of Lloret,

[10]correct?

[11]A. Yes.

[12]Q. And she wrote a note in the progress record,

[13]didn't she?

[14]A. I'll find it in a second, sir.

[15]What date are we, sir?

[16]Q. June 3rd.

[17]A. Turn that again so I can see it?

[18]Q. (Indicating.)

[19]A. I have it, sir, yes. I'm sorry. Is there a

[20]question? I apologize.

[21]Q. It says, CAT scan reviewed with Dr. Mechanic.

[22]MS. DOLSKY: Rereviewed it says.

[23]Q. CAT scans either rereviewed or reviewed with

[24]Dr. Mechanic. Vents slit like. See that?

[25]A. I know it's here. I'm just not finding it, sir.

[1]273

[2]Q. Because it's late in the day, right?

[3]A. Might have something to do with it.

[4]Q. I know the feeling.

[5]A. Point me --

[6]Q. (Indicating.)

[7]A. Oh, down at the bottom. [\*157] I was looking at the  
[8]top note.

[9]Yes, I see it.

[10]Q. You see it says, Vents slit like.

[11]A. Yes, sir.

[12]Q. Now, you looked at the June 3rd CAT scans in the  
[13]morning, correct?

[14]A. Yes.

[15]Q. And those vents were not slit like, true?

[16]A. They were small but not slit like.

[17]Q. My question was they were not slit like, and the  
[18]answer's yes, right?

[19]MS. DOLSKY: Objection.

[20]THE COURT: That's not the testimony of the  
[21]witness.

[22]MR. TORGAN: I'm sorry.

[23]Q. My question is --

[24]MR. TORGAN: I'm sorry, Judge.

[25]A. The ventricles were small.

[1]274

[2]Q. They were not slit like?

[3]A. Not expanded, but not what I would define as  
[4]slit like.

[5]Q. And the left ventricle was bigger than the right  
[6]ventricle at that time on June 3rd, correct?

[7]A. As it had been, yes.

[8]Q. As it had been the entire time he was in the  
[9]hospital, right?

[10]A. Yes.

[11]THE COURT: All right. I think if this is

[12]a good place for you to stop --

[13]MR. TORGAN: Whatever's good for you,

[14]Judge.

[15]THE COURT: Okay. Fine. We are going **[\*158]** to

[16]adjourn now. 9:30 tomorrow morning. Thank you

[17]very much. You're excused. Do not discuss the

[18]case.

[19]THE COURT OFFICER: Ladies and gentlemen,

[20]please follow me.

[21](Whereupon, the jury panel exited the

[22]courtroom.)

[23]MS. DOLSKY: Judge, he was here Monday, he

[24]was here Tuesday. He has surgery tomorrow.

[25]I'll find out when he can come back later this

[1]275

[2]week. I also have another witness,

[3]Dr. Woldenberg, who I had clear her schedule for

[4]tomorrow. It was the neuroradiologist at North

[5]Shore.

[6]THE COURT: Okay.

[7]MS. DOLSKY: And I had a voice mail message

[8]from them at lunchtime to tell me that they did

[9]-- that she will be available.

[10]THE COURT: So the neuroradiologist is

[11]coming tomorrow.

[12]MS. DOLSKY: Yes.

[13]THE COURT: And then Dr. --

[14]THE WITNESS: I have to work on my schedule

[15]and see when I can do it.

[16]THE COURT: We have to get this case done.

[17]MS. DOLSKY: I agree.

[18]THE WITNESS: I should be able to do it

[19]either Thursday afternoon or Friday afternoon.

[20]I just can't tell you sitting here right now.

[21]THE COURT: Well, [\*159] that's no good because

[22]Thursday afternoon and Friday afternoon is going

[23]to be half days. We have to get this case done.

[24]MS. DOLSKY: Judge, I wonder -- I don't

[25]know why -- this is the second day. Maybe I

[1]276

[2]would hope that a half day would be sufficient.

[3]MR. TORGAN: It's my first day. It's my

[4]first afternoon. I just started this afternoon,

[5]later in the morning. I had like 15, 20

[6]minutes.

[7]MS. DOLSKY: An hour in the morning. I

[8]would think an afternoon, that would be --

[9]THE COURT: Well, an afternoon should do

[10]it. That's three hours.

[11]MS. DOLSKY: Right.

[12]THE COURT: So that should do the cross and

[13]any response to the cross, and that's going to

[14]be it, so you pace yourself, Ms. Dolsky, and you

[15]pace yourself, Mr. Torgan, because I'm not going

[16]to permit it any longer than that.

[17]THE CLERK: So you want the doctor to try

[18]for Thursday afternoon?

[19]THE WITNESS: I will make Thursday -- I

[20]should be able to arrange my schedule. I'll

[21]tell Ms. Dolsky tomorrow --

[22]THE COURT: Okay. Fine.

[23]THE WITNESS: -- during the day.

[24]THE CLERK: And **[\*160]** the other witness will take

[25]the full day tomorrow.

[1]277

[2]THE WITNESS: Can I go, your Honor?

[3]MS. DOLSKY: I can't venture to guess. If

[4]not I can have somebody on call for later in the

[5]afternoon tomorrow. I'll try.

[6]THE COURT: Okay.

[7]THE CLERK: Okay, Doctor. Hopefully we'll

[8]see you Thursday afternoon.

[9]THE WITNESS: Thank you.

[10](Whereupon the trial was adjourned to 9:30

[11]AM, Wednesday, February 16, 2005.)

[12]

[13]\* \* \* \* \*

[14]

[15]

[16]

[17]

[18]

[19]

[20]

[21]

[22]

[23]

[24]

[25]

---

End of Document